DOI: 10.1515/ausm-2017-0029

Uniqueness of polynomial and differential monomial

Harina P. Waghamore

Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, India email: harinapw@gmail.com, harina@bub.ernet.in

V. Husna

Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, India email: husnav430gmail.com, husnav@bub.ernet.in

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the problem of meromorphic functions sharing small function and present one theorem which extend a result of K. S. Charak and Banarasi Lal [16].

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, a meromorphic function always mean a function which is meromorphic in the whole complex plane.

Definition 1 Let f(z) and g(z) be nonconstant meromorphic functions, $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that f and g share the value a CM if f - a and g - a have the same zeros with the same multiplicities.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Definition 2} \ \textit{We denote by } N_{k)}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-\alpha)}\right) \ \textit{the counting function for zeros of} \\ f-\alpha \ \textit{with multiplicity} \leq k, \ \textit{and by } \overline{N}_{k)}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-\alpha)}\right) \ \textit{the corresponding one for} \\ \end{array}$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35

Key words and phrases: meromorphic function, sharing values, differential monomial, polynomial

which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k)}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-\alpha)}\right)$ be the counting function for zeros of $f-\alpha$ with multiplicity at least k and $\overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-\alpha)}\right)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set

$$N_k\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + \ldots + \overline{N}_{(k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right).$$

Definition 3 For two positive integers n,p we define $\mu_p = min\{n,p\}$ and $\mu_p^* = p+1-\mu_p$. Then it is clear that

$$N_p(r,0;f^n) \leq \mu_p N_{\mu_p^*}(r,0;f).$$

Definition 4 [17] Let z_0 be a zero of f-a of multiplicity p and a zero of g-a of multiplicity q. We denote by $\overline{N}_L(r,a;f)$ the counting function of those a-points of f and g where $p>q\geq 1$, by $N_E^{(1)}(r,a;f)$ the counting function of those a-points of f and g where p=q=1 and by $\overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r,a;f)$ the counting function of those a-points of f and g where $p=q\geq 2$, each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way we can define $\overline{N}_L(r,a;g), N_E^{(1)}(r,a;g), \overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r,a;g)$.

Definition 5 [18] Let k be a non-negative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a;f)$ the set of all a-points of f, where an a-point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \le k$ and k+1 times if m > k. If $E_k(a;f) = E_k(a;g)$, we say that f,g share the value a with weight k.

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k then z_0 is an a-point of f with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is an a-point of g with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is an a-point of f with multiplicity m(>k) if and only if it is an a-point of g with multiplicity n(>k), where m is not necessarily equal to n.

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k. Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for any integer $p, 0 \le p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share (a, 0) or (a, ∞) respectively.

With the notion of weighted sharing of values Lahiri-Sarkar [13] improved the result of Zhang [14]. In [15] Zhang extended the result of Lahiri-Sarkar [13] and replaced the concept of value sharing by small function sharing.

In 2008, Zhang and Lü [12] obtained the following result.

Theorem A Let k, n be the positive integers, f be a non-constant meromorphic function, and $\mathfrak{a}(\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function satisfying $T(r, \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{o}(T(r, f))$ as $r \to \infty$. If f^n and $f^{(k)}$ share \mathfrak{a} IM and

$$(2k+6)\Theta(\infty, f) + 4\Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > 2k+12-n,$$

or fⁿ and f^(k) share a CM and

$$(k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(0, f) + \delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k+6-n,$$

then $f^n = f^{(k)}$.

In the same paper, T. Zhang and W. Lü asked the following question:

Question 1 What will happen if f^n and $(f^{(k)})^m$ share a meromorphic function $a(\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ satisfying T(r, a) = o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$?

S. S. Bhoosnurmath and Kabbur [3] proved:

Theorem B Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and $a(\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function satisfying T(r, a) = o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$. Let P[f] be a non-constant differential polynomial in f. If f and P[f] share a IM and

$$(2Q+6)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2+3\underline{d}(P))\delta(0, f) > 2Q + 2\underline{d}(P) + \overline{d}(P) + 7,$$

or if f and P[f] share a CM and

$$3\Theta(\infty, f) + (\underline{d}(P) + 1)\delta(0, f) > 4,$$

then $f \equiv P[f]$.

Banerjee and Majumder [2] considered the weighted sharing of f^n and $(f^m)^{(k)}$ and proved the following result:

Theorem C Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, k, n, m \in N and l be a non negative integer. Suppose $a(\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function satisfying T(r,a) = o(T(r,f)) as $r \to \infty$ such that f^n and $(f^m)^{(k)}$ share (a,l). If $l \geq 2$ and

$$(k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + (k+4)\Theta(0, f) > 2k+7-n,$$

or l = 1 and

$$\left(k+\frac{7}{2}\right)\Theta(\infty,f)+\left(k+\frac{9}{2}\right)\Theta(0,f)>2k+8-\mathfrak{n},$$

or l = 0 and

$$(2k+6)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+7)\Theta(0, f) > 4k+13-n,$$

then $f \equiv (f^m)^{(k)}$.

In 2015, Kuldeep S. Charak and Banarasi Lal [16] proved the following result:

Theorem D Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, n be a positive integer and $a(\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function satisfying T(r, a) = o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$. Let P[f] be a non-constant differential polynomial in f. Suppose f^n and P[f] share (a, l) such that any one of the following holds:

(i) when $l \geq 2$ and

$$(Q+3)\Theta(\infty,f)+2\Theta(0,f)+\overline{d}(P)\delta(0,f)>Q+5+2\overline{d}(P)-\underline{d}(P)-n,$$

(ii) when l = 1 and

$$\left(Q+\frac{7}{2}\right)\Theta(\infty,f)+\frac{5}{2}\Theta(0,f)+\overline{d}(P)\delta(0,f)>Q+6+2\overline{d}(P)-\underline{d}(P)-n,$$

(iii) when l = 0 and

$$(2Q+6)\Theta(\infty,f)+4\Theta(0,f)+2\overline{d}(P)\delta(0,f)>2Q+10+4\overline{d}(P)-2\underline{d}(P)-n.$$

Then $f^n \equiv P[f]$.

Through the paper we shall assume the following notations. Let

$$\mathcal{P}(\omega) = a_{m+n}\omega^{m+n} + ... + a_n\omega^n + ... + a_0 = a_{n+m} \prod_{i=1}^s (\omega - \omega_{p_i})^{p_i}$$

where $a_j(j=0,1,2,...,n+m-1), a_{n+m}\neq 0$ and $\omega_{p_i}(i=1,2,...,s)$ are distinct finite complex numbers and $2\leq s\leq n+m$ and $p_1,p_2,...,p_s,s\geq 2,n,m$ and k are all positive integers with $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i=n+m$. Also let $p>max_{p\neq p_i,i=1,...,r}\{p_i\},r=s-1$, where s and r are two positive integers.

Let

$$P(\omega_1) = a_{n+m} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (\omega_1 + \omega_p - \omega_{p_i})^{p_i} = b_q \omega_1^q + b_{q-1} \omega_1^{q-1} + ... + b_0,$$

where $a_{n+m}=b_q, \omega_1=\omega-\omega_p, q=n+m-p$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(\omega)=\omega_1^p P(\omega_1)$. Next we assume

$$P(\omega_1) = b_q \prod_{i=1}^r (\omega_1 - \alpha_i)^{p_i},$$

where $\alpha_i = \omega_{p_i} - \omega_p$, (i = 1, 2, ..., r), be distinct zeros of $P(\omega_1)$.

In this paper we will prove one theorem which will improve and generalize Theorem D.

Theorem 1 Let $k(\geq 1), n(\geq 1), p(\geq 1)$ and $m(\geq 0)$ be integers and f and $f_1 = f - \omega_p$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and M[f] be a differential monomial of degree d_M and weight Γ_M and k is the highest derivative in M[f]. Let $\mathcal{P}(z) = \alpha_{m+n} z^{m+n} + ... + \alpha_n z^n + ... + \alpha_0, \ \alpha_{m+n} \neq 0, \ \text{be a polynomial in } z$ of degree m+n such that $\mathcal{P}(f) = f_1^p P(f_1)$. Also let $\alpha(z) (\not\equiv 0, \infty)$ be a small function with respect to f. Suppose $\mathcal{P}(f) - \alpha$ and $M[f] - \alpha$ share (0,1). If $l \geq 2$ and

$$(3+2\lambda)\Theta(\infty,f) + \mu_2 \delta_{\mu_2^*}(\omega_p,f) + 2d_M \delta_{1+k}(0,f) > 2\Gamma_M + 2\mu_2 + 3 - p$$
 (1)

or l = 1 and

$$\left(\frac{7}{2} + 2\lambda\right)\Theta(\infty, f) + \frac{1}{2}\Theta(\omega_{p}, f) + \mu_{2}\delta_{\mu_{2}^{*}}(\omega_{p}, f) + 2d_{M}\delta_{1+k}(0, f) > \\ 2\Gamma_{M} + \mu_{2} + 4 + \frac{(m+n) - 3p}{2}$$
 (2)

or l = 0 and

$$(6+3\lambda)\Theta(\infty,f) + 2\Theta(\omega_{p},f) + \mu_{2}\delta_{\mu_{2}^{*}}(\omega_{p},f) + 3d_{M}\delta_{1+k}(0,f)$$

$$> 3\Gamma_{M} + \mu_{2} + 8 + 2(m+n) - 3p$$
(3)

then $\mathcal{P}(f) \equiv M[f]$.

Following example shows that in Theorem 1 $a(z) \not\equiv 0$ is essential.

Example 1 Let us take $f(z) = e^{Lz}$ where $L \neq 0, \pm 1$ and $\mathcal{P}(f) = f^3, M[f] = f^{(2)}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(f)$ and M[f] share $\alpha = 0 \text{ (or,} \infty)$. Here $m = 0, p = n = 1, \omega_p = 0, d_M = 1, \mu_2 = 1, \Gamma_M = 3$ and $\lambda = 2$. Also $\Theta(\infty; f) = 1 = \Theta(0; f)$ and $\delta_p(0; f) = 1, \forall q \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we see that the deficiency conditions stated in Theorem 1 are satisfied but $\mathcal{P}(f) \not\equiv M[f]$.

The next example shows that the deficiency conditions stated in Theorem 1 are not necessary.

Example 2 Let $f(z) = C\cos z + D\sin z$, $CD \neq 0$. Then $\overline{N}(r, f) = S(r, f)$ and

$$\overline{N}(r,0;f) = \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{C+iD}{C-iD};e^{2iz}\right) \sim T(r,f).$$

Here $m = 0, p = n = 1, \omega_p = 0, d_M = 1, \mu_2 = 1, \Gamma_M = 4k + 1$ and $\lambda = 4k$. Again $\Theta(\infty, f) = 1$ and $\Theta(0, f) = \delta_p(0, f) = 0$. Let m = 0, hence $\mathcal{P}(f) = f$.

Therefore it is clear that $M[f] = f^{(4k)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}(f)$ share $\mathfrak{a}(z)$ and the deficiency conditions in Theorem 1 are not satisfied, but $\mathcal{P}(f) \equiv M$.

2 Lemmas

Lemma 1 [17] For the differential monomial M[f],

$$N_{\mathfrak{p}}(r,0;M[f]) \leq d_{M}N_{\mathfrak{p}+k}(r,0;g) + \lambda \overline{N}(r,\infty,f) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 2 [17] Let F and G share (1,1). Then

$$\overline{N}_L(r,1;F) \leq \frac{1}{l+1}\overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \frac{1}{l+1}\overline{N}(r,0;F) + S(r,F) \text{ if } l \geq 1,$$

and

$$\overline{N}_L(r,1;F) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;F) + S(r,F) \text{ if } l = 0.$$

Lemma 3 Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and a(z) be a small function of f. Let us define $F = \frac{\mathcal{P}(f)}{a} = \frac{f_1^P P(f_1)}{a}$ and $G = \frac{M[f]}{a}$. Then $FG \not\equiv 1$. Proof. On contrary suppose $FG \equiv 1$ i.e

$$f_1^p P(f_1) M[f] = \alpha^2.$$

From above it is clear that the function f can't have any zero and poles. Therefore $\overline{N}(r, 0; f) = S(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f)$. So by the First Fundamental Theorem and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{split} (m+n+d_M)T(r,f) &= T\left(r,\frac{\alpha^2}{f_1^PP(f_1)f^{d_M}}\right) + S(r,f) \leq T\left(r,\frac{M[f]}{f^{d_M}}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq m\left(r,\frac{M[f]}{f^{d_M}}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{M[f]}{f^{d_M}}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq N\left(r,\frac{M[f]}{f^{d_M}}\right) + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

Then using Lemma 2 and from above inequality, we get

$$(m+n+d_M)T(r,f) \leq d_MN(r,0;f) + \lambda \overline{N}(r,f) + S(r,f) \leq S(r,f),$$

which is not possible.

Lemma 4 [17] Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and a(z) be a small function of f. Let $F = \frac{\mathcal{P}(f)}{a} = \frac{f_1^p P(f_1)}{a}$ and $G = \frac{M[f]}{a}$ such that F and G shares $(1, \infty)$. Then one of the following cases holds:

$$\frac{\textit{1.}}{\overline{N}_L(r,\infty;G)} + N_2(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + \overline{N}_L(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}_L(r,\infty;G) + \overline{N}_L(r,$$

2. $F \equiv G$

3. FG \equiv 1.

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$ and S(r) = o(T(r)), $r \in I$, I is a set of infinite linear measure of $r \in \{0, \infty\}$.

3 Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

Let $F = \frac{\mathcal{P}(f)}{\alpha} = \frac{f_1^p P(f_1)}{\alpha}$ and $G = \frac{M[f]}{\alpha}$. Then $F - 1 = \frac{f_1^p P(f_1) - \alpha}{\alpha}$ and $G - 1 = \frac{M[f] - \alpha}{\alpha}$. Since $\mathcal{P}(f)$ and M[f] share (α, l) , it follows that F and G share (1, l), except the zeros and poles of $\alpha(z)$.

Define

$$\psi = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right). \tag{4}$$

We consider the following cases:

Case 1. When $\psi \not\equiv 0$. Then from (4), we have $\mathfrak{m}(r,\psi) = S(r,f)$. By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we have

$$T(r,F) + T(r,G) \le 2\overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f),$$

$$(5)$$

where $N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F^7}\right)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros of F' which are not the zeros of F(F-1) and $N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros

of G' which are not the zeros of G(G-1).

Subcase 1.1. When $l \ge 1$. Then from (4), we have,

$$\begin{split} N_E^{1)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{\psi}\right) + S(r,f) \leq T(r,\psi) + S(r,f) = N(r,\psi) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &+ \overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f), \end{split}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} &\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) = N_E^{1)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &+ \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ &+ \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

For $l \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{split} & 2\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_E^{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ & \leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

Thus from (6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \\ & + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f). \end{split} \tag{7}$$

Now from Lemma 1, (5) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{split} T(r,F) &\leq 3\overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 3\overline{N}(r,f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 3\overline{N}(r,f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}(r,\omega_p;f) + 2d_M N_{1+k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\lambda \overline{N}(r,f) + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (n+m)T(r,f) &\leq (3+2\lambda)\overline{N}(r,f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}(r,\omega_p;f) + (m+n-p)T(r,f) \\ &+ 2d_M N_{1+k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \{(3+2\lambda)\Theta(\infty,f) + \mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(r,\omega_p;f) + 2d_M\delta_{1+k}(0,f)\}T(r,f) \\ \leq (3+2\lambda+2\mu_1+m+n-2p+2d_M)T(r,f) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{(3+2\lambda)\Theta(\infty,f) + \mu_2 \delta_{\mu_2^*}(0,f) + 2d_M \delta_{1+k}(0,f) - \varepsilon\} T(r,f) \\ & \leq (2\Gamma_M + 3 + 2\lambda + 2\mu_2 - p) T(r,f) + S(r,f). \end{aligned}$$

which violates (1).

Next, consider the case when l = 1.

First note that

$$\overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) \le \frac{1}{2}N(r,\frac{1}{F'}|F \ne 0) \le \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}), \tag{8}$$

when $N\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}|F \neq 0\right)$ denotes the zeros of F', that are not the zeros of F. From (4) and (8), we have

$$2\overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{E}^{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\
\leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r,f) \tag{9}$$

Thus, from (5) and (9), we have

$$\begin{split} & \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + T(r,G) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f). \end{split} \tag{10}$$

From Lemma 1, (5) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{split} T(r,F) & \leq 3\overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r,F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r,f) \\ & \leq \frac{7}{2}\overline{N}(r,f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r,f) \\ & \leq \frac{7}{2}\overline{N}(r,f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}(r,\omega_p;f) + (m+n-p)T(r,f) + 2d_M N_{1+k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \\ & + 2\lambda\overline{N}(r,f) + \frac{1}{2}\{\overline{N}(r,\omega_p;f) + (m+n-p)T(r,f) + S(r,f)\} \\ (m+n)T(r,f) & \leq \left(\frac{7}{2} + 2\lambda\right)(1 - \Theta(\infty,f)) + \mu_2(1 - \delta_{\mu_2^*}(\omega_p,f)) + \frac{3}{2}(m+n-p) \\ & + 2d_M(1 - \delta_{1+k}(0,f)) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \Theta(\omega_p,f)) + S(r,f). \\ & \left\{\left(\frac{7}{2} + 2\lambda\right)\Theta(\infty,f) + \mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(\omega_p,f)\right) + 2d_M\delta_{1+k}(0,f) + \frac{1}{2}\Theta(\omega_p;f)\right\} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{7}{2} + 2\lambda + \mu_2 + \frac{3}{2}(m+n-p) + 2d_M + \frac{1}{2} - m - n + \varepsilon\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f) \\ & \leq (2\Gamma_M + 4 + \mu_2 + \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}n - \frac{3}{2}p)T(r,f) + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

which violates (2).

Subcase 1.2. When l = 0. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} N_E^{1)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) &= N_E^{1)}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f),\\ \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) &= \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f), \end{split}$$

and also from (4), we have

$$\begin{split} & \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq N_E^{1)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ & + \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\ & \leq N_E^{1)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\ & \leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ & + N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

From Lemma 2, (5) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{split} T(r,F) &\leq 3\overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &+ 2\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 6\overline{N}(r,f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}(r,0;f) + (m+n-p)T(r,f) + 3(d_M N_{1+k}(r,0;f) \\ &+ \lambda \overline{N}(r,f)) + 2\{\overline{N}(r,\omega_p;f) + (m+n-p)T(r,f)\} + S(r,f) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}) \mathsf{T}(r, \mathsf{f}) & \leq (6 + 3\lambda) (1 - \Theta(\infty, \mathsf{f})) + \mu_2 (1 - \delta_{\mu_2^*}(r, \mathsf{f})) + 3(\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{p}) \\ & + 3 d_{\mathsf{M}} (1 - \delta_{1+k}(0, \mathsf{f})) + 2(1 - \Theta(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathsf{f})) + \mathsf{S}(r, \mathsf{f}). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\{(6+3\lambda)\Theta(\infty,f)+\mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(r,f)+3d_M\delta_{1+k}(0,f)+2\Theta(\omega_p,f)-\varepsilon\}T(r,f)\\ &\leq (6+3\lambda+\mu_2+3m+3n-3p+3d_M+2-m-n)T(r,f)+S(r,f)\\ &\leq (3\Gamma_M+\mu_2+2m+2n-3p+8-\varepsilon)T(r,f)+S(r,f) \end{split}$$

which violates (3).

Case 2. Let $H \equiv 0$.

On Integration we get

$$\frac{1}{G-1} \equiv \frac{A}{F-1} + B,$$

where $A(\neq 0)$, B are complex constants.

It is clear that F and G share $(1, \infty)$. Also by construction of F and G we see that F and G share $(\infty, 0)$ also.

So using Lemma 1 and condition (2), we obtain

$$\begin{split} N_{2}(r,0;F) + N_{2}(r,0;G) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;G) + \overline{N}_{L}(r,\infty;F) \\ + \overline{N}_{L}(r,\infty;G) + S(r) &\leq 2\overline{N}(r,0;F) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;G) + 3\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + S(r) \\ &\leq 2(\overline{N}(r,\omega_{p};f) + (m+n-p)T(r,f)) + 2(d_{M}N_{1+k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \lambda\overline{N}(r,f)) \\ &+ 3\overline{N}(r,f) + S(r) &\leq 2(1-\Theta(\omega_{p},f)) + 2d_{M}(1-\delta_{1+k}(0,f)) \\ &+ (3+2\lambda)(1-\Theta(\infty,f)) + S(r) + (m+n-p)T(r,f) \\ &\leq (3+2\lambda+2d_{M}+2+m+n-p) - (3+2\lambda+2d_{M}+2-p)T(r,f) + S(r) \\ &\leq (m+n)T(r,f) + S(r) &< T(r,F) + S(r). \end{split}$$

Hence inequality (1) of Lemma 4 does not hold. Again in view of Lemma 3, we get $FG \not\equiv 1$. Therefore $F \equiv G$ i.e., $\mathcal{P}(f) \equiv M[f]$.

Acknowledgement

The author (VH) is greatful to the University Grants Commission(UGC), New Delhi, India for supporting her research work by providing her with a Maulana Azad National Fellowship(MANF).

References

- [1] Banerjee, Abhijit, Meromorphic functions sharing one value. Int. *J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **22** (2005), 3587–3598.
- [2] A. Banerjee, S. Majumder, Some uniqueness results related to meromorphic function that share a small function with its derivative. *Math. Rep.* (Bucur.), **16** (66) (2014), no. 1, 95–111.
- [3] Subhas S. Bhoosnurmath, Smita R. Kabbur, On entire and meromorphic functions that share one small function with their differential polynomial, *Int. J. Anal.*, (2013), Art. ID 926340, 8 pp.
- [4] S. S. Bhoosnurmath, Anupama J. Patil, On the growth and value distribution of meromorphic functions and their differential polynomials, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 74 (2007), no. 3-4, 167–184 (2008).

- [5] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford Mathematical Monographs Clarendon Press, Oxford 1964 xiv+191 pp.
- [6] H. -X. Yi, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and a question of C. C. Yang. Complex Var. Theory and Appl., 14 (1990), no. 1–4, 169–176.
- [7] H. -X. Yi, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions whose nth derivatives share the same 1-points, Complex Var. Theory and Appl., 34 (1997), no. 4, 421–436.
- [8] H. Huang, B. Huang, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential monomials, *Appl. Math.* (Irvine), **2** (2011), no. 2, 230–235.
- [9] N. Li, L.-Z. Yang, Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its differential polynomial, Kyungpook Math. J., 50 (2010), no. 3, 447–454.
- [10] E. Mues, N. Steinmetz, Meromorphe Funktionen, die mit ihrer Ableitung Werte teilen. (German) Manuscripta Math., 29 (1979), no. 2-4, 195–206.
- [11] C.-C. Yang, H.-X. Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions. Mathematics and its Applications, 557. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003. viii+569 pp. ISBN: 1-4020-1448-1
- [12] T. Zhang, W. Lü, Notes on a meromorphic function sharing one small function with its derivative, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **53** (2008), no. 9, 857–867.
- [13] I. Lahiri, A. Sarkar, Uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its derivative, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (2004), no. 1, Article 20, 9 pp. (electronic).
- [14] Zhang, Qing Cai, The uniqueness of meromorphic functions with their derivatives, *Kodai Math. J.*, **21** (1998), no. 2, 179–184.
- [15] Q. C. Zhang, Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., 6 (2015),1–13.
- [16] K. S. Charak, Banarsi Lal, Uniqueness of fⁿ and P[f], arXiv:1501.05092v1.[math.CV]21 Jan 2015.
- [17] A. Banerjee, B. Chakraborty, Further investigations on a question of Zhang and Lü, Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math., 14 (2015), 105– 119.

- [18] A. Banerjee, S. Majumder, Sujoy, On the uniqueness of a power of a meromorphic function sharing a small function with the power of its derivative, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **51** (2010), no. 4, 565–576.
- [19] I. Lahiri, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, Complex Var. Theory and Appl., 46 (2001), no. 3, 241–253.