



Multiple radially symmetric solutions for a quasilinear eigenvalue problem

Ildikó-Ilona Mezei

Babeş-Bolyai University

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science

str. M. Kogălniceanu 1,

400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

email: ildiko.mezei@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. In this paper we study an eigenvalue problem in \mathbb{R}^N , which involves the p -Laplacian ($1 < p < N$), and the nonlinear term has a global $(p - 1)$ -sublinear growth. We guarantee an open interval of eigenvalues, for which the eigenvalue problem has three distinct radially symmetric solutions in a weighted Sobolev space. We use a compact embedding result of Su, Wang and Willem ([6]) and a Ricceri-type three critical points theorem of Bonanno ([1]).

1 Main result

Let $V, Q : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be two continuous functions satisfying the following hypotheses

(V) there exist real numbers α and α_0 such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(r)}{r^\alpha} > 0, \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(r)}{r^{\alpha_0}} > 0.$$

(Q) there exist real numbers β and β_0 such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q(r)}{r^\beta} < \infty, \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{Q(r)}{r^{\beta_0}} < \infty.$$

AMS 2000 subject classifications: 35J35, 46E35

Key words and phrases: quasilinear problem, eigenvalue problem, variational methods, weighted Sobolev space

Let $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the collection of smooth functions with compact support and

$$C_{0,r}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) | u \text{ is radially symmetric}\}.$$

We recall that a function $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is radially symmetric, if $u(|x|) = u(x)$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Let $D_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the completion of $C_{0,r}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under

$$\|u\|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

Define the Lebesgue spaces for $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$:

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | u \text{ is measurable, } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|)|u|^p dx < \infty\}$$

$$L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; Q) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | u \text{ is measurable, } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|)|u|^q dx < \infty\}$$

with the corresponding norms

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|)|u|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; Q)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|)|u|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

For these norms, we use the abbreviations: $\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V)} = \|u\|_{p,V}$ and $\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; Q)} = \|u\|_{q,Q}$.

Then define $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) = D_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$, which is a Banach space under

$$\|u\|_W^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(|x|)|u|^p) dx.$$

In order to state the embedding theorem used in our proofs, we need to introduce the following notations:

$$q_* = \begin{cases} \frac{p^2(N-1+b) - ap}{p(N-1) + a(p-1)}, & b \geq a > -p, \\ \frac{p(N+b)}{N-p}, & b \geq -p \geq a, \\ p, & b \leq \max\{a, -p\} \end{cases}$$

$$q^* = \begin{cases} \frac{p(N + b_0)}{N - p}, & b_0 \geq -p, a_0 \geq -p, \\ \frac{p^2(N - 1 + b_0) - a_0 p}{p(N - 1) + a_0(p - 1)}, & -p \geq a_0 > -\frac{N-1}{p-1}p, b_0 \geq a_0, \\ \infty, & a_0 \leq -\frac{N-1}{p-1}p, b_0 \geq a_0. \end{cases}$$

We shall use the following embedding theorem.

Theorem 1 [6, Theorem 1.] *Let $1 < p < N$. Assume (V) and (Q). Then we have the embedding*

$$W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; Q) \quad (1)$$

for $q_* \leq q \leq q^*$, when $q^* < \infty$ and for $q_* \leq q < \infty$, when $q^* = \infty$.

Furthermore, the embedding is compact for $q_* < q < q^*$. And if $b < \max\{a, -p\}$ and $b_0 > \min\{-p, a_0\}$, the embedding is also compact for $q = p$.

Therefore, supposing besides (V) and (Q) the condition

$$(ab) \quad b < \max\{a, -p\} \text{ and } b_0 > \min\{-p, a_0\},$$

the embedding

$$W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; Q) \quad (2)$$

is also compact.

The collection of those functions, which satisfy the conditions (V), (Q) and (ab) is not empty. For example, taking

$$a = p, b = -p - 1, a_0 = -p, b_0 = -p + 1,$$

the functions V and Q defined by

$$V(r) = \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{r^p} \right\},$$

$$Q(x) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{r^{p+1}}, \frac{1}{r^{p-1}} \right\}$$

satisfy all three assumptions for every $1 < p < N$.

For $\lambda > 0$, we consider the following problem:

$$(P_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + V(|x|)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda Q(|x|)f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ |u(x)| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases},$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

We say that $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ is a *weak radial solution* of the problem (P_λ) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + V(|x|)|u|^{p-2} uv) dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|)f(u(x))v(x) dx = 0,$$

for every $v \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$.

We assume the following conditions on f :

(f₁) there exists $C > 0$ such that $|f(s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^{p-1})$, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$;

(f₂) $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-1}} = 0$;

(f₃) there exists $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(s_0) > 0$, where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t) dt$.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 2 *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which satisfies (f₁), (f₂), (f₃), and assume that (V), (Q) and (ab) are verified. Then, there exists an open interval $\Lambda \subset (0, \infty)$ and a constant $\mu > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ problem (P_λ) there are at least three distinct weak radial solutions in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$, whose $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ -norms are less than μ .*

2 Auxiliary results

In this section we give a few preliminary results. These will be used in the proof of the main result in the next section.

We denote the best embedding constant of the embedding (1) by C_q , i.e. we have the inequality:

$$\|u\|_{q,Q} \leq C_q \|u\|_W.$$

We define the energy functional corresponding to (P_λ) as

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_W^p - \lambda J(u),$$

where $J : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the functional defined by

$$J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|)F(u(x)) dx.$$

The functional \mathcal{E}_λ is of class C^1 (see for instance [3, Lemma 4]), and its derivative is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + V(|x|)|u|^{p-2} uv) dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) f(u(x)) v(x) dx,$$

for every $v \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. Therefore, the critical points of the energy functional are exactly the weak radial solutions of the problem (P_λ) .

Lemma 1 *For every $\lambda > 0$, the functional $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. Due to (f_2) , for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(s)| \leq \varepsilon p |s|^{p-1}, \text{ for every } s \leq |\delta|. \quad (3)$$

Combining this inequality with condition (f_1) , we obtain

$$|f(s)| \leq \varepsilon p |s|^{p-1} + K(\delta) r |s|^{r-1}, \text{ for every } s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4)$$

where $r \in]q_*, q^*[$ is fixed and $K(\delta) > 0$ does not depend on s .

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence from $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$, which is weakly convergent to some $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. Then there exists a positive constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|u_n\|_W \leq M, \|u_n - u\|_W \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

We claim that $|J(u_n) - J(u)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using inequality (4), the standard mean value theorem for F and the Hölder's inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |J(u_n) - J(u)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) |F(u_n(x)) - F(u(x))| dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) |f(\theta u_n(x) - (1-\theta)u(x))| |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) |\theta u_n(x) - (1-\theta)u(x)|^{p-1} |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx + \\ &+ K(\delta) r \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) |\theta u_n(x) - (1-\theta)u(x)|^{r-1} |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) (|u_n(x)|^{p-1} + |u(x)|^{p-1}) |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx + \\ &+ K(\delta) r \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) (|u_n(x)|^{r-1} + |u(x)|^{r-1}) |u_n(x) - u(x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon p (\|u_n\|_{p,Q}^{p-1} + \|u\|_{p,Q}^{p-1}) \|u_n - u\|_{p,Q} + \\ &+ K(\delta) r (\|u_n\|_{r,Q}^{r-1} + \|u\|_{r,Q}^{r-1}) \|u_n - u\|_{r,Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the embeddings (1), (2) and the inequalities (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J(u_n) - J(u)| &\leq \varepsilon p C_p^p (\|u_n\|_W^{p-1} + \|u\|_W^{p-1}) \|u_n - u\|_W + \\ &\quad + K(\delta) r C_r^{r-1} (\|u_n\|_W^{r-1} + \|u\|_W^{r-1}) \|u_n - u\|_{r,Q} \leq \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon p C_p^p M^p + 2K(\delta) r C_r^{r-1} M^{r-1} \|u_n - u\|_{r,Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the embedding $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N; Q)$ is compact for $r \in]q_*, q^*[$, we have that $\|u_n - u\|_{r,Q} \rightarrow 0$, whenever $n \rightarrow \infty$. Besides that, ε is chosen arbitrarily, so the claim follows from the last inequality. \blacksquare

Lemma 2 *For every $\lambda > 0$, the functional $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is coercive.*

Proof. Let η be a constant such that

$$0 < \eta < \frac{1}{p C_p^p}, \quad (6)$$

where C_p is the best embedding constant of the embedding $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. Due to conditions (f_1) and (f_2) , there is a function $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N; Q)$ such that

$$|F(s)| \leq \eta |s|^p + k(x), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (7)$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_W^p - \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) |u(x)|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|) k(x) dx \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_W^p - \eta C_p^p \|u\|_W^p - \|k\|_{1,Q} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \eta C_p^p \right) \|u\|_W^p - \|k\|_{1,Q} \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of the function k , we have that $\|k\|_{1,Q}$ is bounded. Therefore, using the inequality (6), we obtain that $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(u) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\|u\|_W \rightarrow \infty$, concluding the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 3 *For every $\lambda > 0$, the functional $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ be a (PS)-sequence for the function \mathcal{E}_λ , i.e.

(1) $\{\mathcal{E}_\lambda(u_n)\}$ is bounded;

(2) $\mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Since \mathcal{E}_λ is coercive, we have that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. The reflexivity of the Banach space $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ implies the existence of a subsequence (notated also by $\{u_n\}$), such that $\{u_n\}$ is weakly convergent to an element $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. Therefore, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

Because the inclusion $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ is compact, we have that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. We would like to prove that u_n converges strongly to u in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. For this, we will use the following estimates from [2, Lemma 4.10]

$$|\xi - \zeta|^p \leq M_1(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta), \quad \text{for } p \geq 2 \quad (9)$$

$$|\xi - \zeta|^2 \leq M_2(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta)(|\xi| + |\zeta|)^{2-p}, \quad \text{for } p \in]1, 2[, \quad (10)$$

where M_1 and M_2 are some positive constants. We separate two cases. In the first case let $p \geq 2$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u\|_W^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|)|u_n(x) - u(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq M_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \right] (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) dx \\ &\quad + M_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|) \left[|u_n(x)|^{p-2} u_n(x) - |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) \right] (u_n(x) - u(x)) dx \\ &= M_1 (\langle \mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle - \langle \mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u), u_n - u \rangle + \lambda \langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), u_n - u \rangle) \\ &\leq M_1 \left(\|\mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u_n)\|_{W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)^*} + \lambda \|J'(u_n) - J'(u)\|_{W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)^*} \right) \|u_n - u\|_W \\ &\quad - M_1 \langle \mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u), u_n - u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ and J' are compact (see [3, Lemma 4]), we have that $\|J'(u_n) - J'(u)\|_{W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)^*} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover $\|\mathcal{E}'_\lambda(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0$, hence using (8), we have that $\|u_n - u\|_W \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the second case, when $1 < p < 2$, we recall the following result: for all $s \in (0, \infty)$ there is a constant $c_s > 0$ such that

$$(x + y)^s \leq c_s(x^s + y^s), \quad \text{for any } x, y \in (0, \infty). \quad (11)$$

Then we obtain

$$\|u_n - u\|_W^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|)|u_n(x) - u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \quad (12)$$

$$\leq c_p \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|) |u_n(x) - u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right].$$

Now, using (10) and the Hölder inequalities, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \\ & \leq M_2 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot (|\nabla u_n(x)| + |\nabla u(x)|)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx = \\ & = M_2 \cdot \int_{\Omega} \left[(|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \quad [(|\nabla u_n(x)| + |\nabla u(x)|)^p]^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx = \\ & \leq \widetilde{M}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x)|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \leq \overline{M}_2 \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \right] \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \leq \widehat{M}_2 \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \quad \left(\|u_n\|_W^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}} + \|u\|_W^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using again relation (11) and the above inequality, we have the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \tag{13} \\ & \leq M'_2 \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) dx \right) \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\|u_n\|_W^{2-p} + \|u\|_W^{2-p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notation: $I(u) = \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_W^p$. As we used before, the directional derivative of I , in the direction $v \in E$ is

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|x|) |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx.$$

Using the inequalities (12), (13) we have

$$\|u_n - u\|_W^2 < M'_2 \cdot \langle I'(u_n) - I'(u), u_n - u \rangle \cdot (\|u_n\|_W^{p-2} + \|u\|_W^{2-p}).$$

Since u_n is bounded, the same argument as in the first case (when $p \geq 2$) shows that u_n converges to u strongly in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$.

Thus \mathcal{E}_λ satisfies the (PS) condition for all $\lambda > 0$. ■

Lemma 4

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sup\{J(u) : \|u\|_W^p < pt\}}{t} = 0.$$

Proof. From inequality (4) we obtain:

$$|F(s)| \leq \varepsilon |s|^p + K(\delta) |s|^r, \text{ for every } s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (14)$$

where $r \in]q_*, q^*[$ is fixed and $K(\delta)$ does not depend on s . Then

$$J(u) \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{p,Q}^p + K(\delta) \|u\|_{r,Q}^r.$$

Now, using embeddings (1), (2), we get:

$$J(u) \leq \varepsilon C_p^p \|u\|_W^p + K(\delta) C_r^r \|u\|_W^r.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup\{J(u) : \|u\|_W^p < pt\} \leq \varepsilon C_p^p pt + K(\delta) C_r^r (pt)^{\frac{r}{p}}.$$

Since ε is chosen arbitrarily and $r > p$, by dividing this last inequality with t and taking the limit, whenever $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we conclude the proof. ■

3 Proof of theorem 2

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 2 is a Ricceri-type critical points theorem (see [4], [5]) refined by Bonanno in [1].

Theorem 3 (G. Bonanno [1]) *Let X be a separable and reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi, J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals. Assume that*

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$, such that $\Phi(x_0) = J(x_0) = 0$;
- (ii) $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$;
- (iii) there exists $x_1 \in X$, $\rho > 0$, such that $\rho < \Phi(x_1)$ and $\sup\{J(x) : \Phi(x) < \rho\} < \rho \frac{J(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)}$.
- (iv) the functional $\Phi - \lambda J$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, satisfies the Palais–Smale condition for every $\lambda > 0$ and it is coercive, for every $\lambda \in [0, \bar{a}]$, where $\bar{a} = \frac{\zeta \rho}{\rho \frac{J(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)} - \sup_{\Phi(x) < \rho} J(x)}$, with $\zeta > 1$.

Then there is an open interval $\Lambda \subset [0, \bar{a}]$ and a number $\sigma > 0$, such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the equation $\Phi'(x) - \lambda J'(x) = 0$ admits at least three distinct solutions in X , having norm less than σ .

We also need the following result of Su, Wang, Willem.

Lemma 5 [6, Lemma 4] *Assuming (V) with $a > -\frac{N-1}{p-1}p$, there exists $C > 0$, such that for all $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$*

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{p(N-1)+a(p-1)}{p^2}} \|u\|_W, \quad |x| \gg 1. \quad (15)$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be from (f_3) , i.e. $F(s_0) > 0$. We denote by B_r the N -dimensional closed ball with center 0 and radius $r > 0$.

Since Q and V are positive continuous functions, for an $R > 0$ there exist the positive constants m_Q, M_Q, M_V such that:

$$m_Q = \min_{|x| \leq R} Q(|x|), M_Q = \max_{|x| \leq R} Q(|x|);$$

$$M_V = \max_{|x| \leq R} V(|x|).$$

For a $\sigma \in]0, 1[$ we define the function $u_\sigma : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R \\ s_0, & \text{if } x \in B_{\sigma R} \\ \frac{s_0}{R(1-\sigma)}(R - |x|), & \text{if } x \in B_R \setminus B_{\sigma R}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that u_σ belongs to $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$. Denoting the volume of the ball B_1 by ω_N , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\sigma\|_W^p &= \int_{B_{\sigma R}} V(|x|) |s_0|^p dx + \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\sigma R}} \left| \frac{s_0}{R(1-\sigma)} \right|^p dx + \\ &\quad + \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\sigma R}} V(|x|) \left| \frac{s_0}{R(1-\sigma)} \right|^p (R - |x|)^p dx \leq \\ &\leq |s_0|^p \omega_N R^N (\sigma^N M_V + R^{-p} (1-\sigma)^{-p} (1-\sigma^N)) + \\ &\quad + |s_0|^p R^{-p} (1-\sigma)^{-p} M_V \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\sigma R}} (R - |x|)^p dx \leq \\ &\leq |s_0|^p \omega_N R^N (M_V + R^{-p} (1-\sigma)^{-p} (1-\sigma^N)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J(u_\sigma) \geq \omega_N R^N (m_Q F(s_0) \sigma^N - M_Q \max_{|t| \leq |s_0|} F(t) (1 - \sigma^N)). \quad (16)$$

By the choice of m_Q and M_Q , we have that $0 < \frac{m_Q F(s_0)}{M_Q \max_{|t| \leq |s_0|} F(t)} < 1$. Therefore,

$$\text{we can choose a } \sigma_0 \in \left[\left(1 + \frac{m_Q F(s_0)}{M_Q \max_{|t| \leq |s_0|} F(t)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{N}}, 1 \right[\subseteq]0, 1[, \text{ such that}$$

$$J(u_{\sigma_0}) > 0. \quad (17)$$

By Lemma 4 and inequality (16) it follows the existence of a positive constant $\rho_0 > 0$ so small that

$$\rho_0 < \frac{\|u_{\sigma_0}\|_W^p}{p} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\sup\{J(u) : \|u\|_W^p < \rho_0\}}{\rho_0} < \frac{pJ(u_{\sigma_0})}{\|u_{\sigma_0}\|_W^p}. \quad (19)$$

Using the Lemmas from the previous section and inequalities (18), (19), all the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied with the choices: $E = W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$, $\Phi = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_W^p$, $x_1 = u_{\sigma_0}$, $x_0 = 0$ and $\zeta = 1 + \rho_0$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \rho_0}{pJ(u_{\sigma_0}) \|u_{\sigma_0}\|_W^{-p} - \sup\{J(u) : \|u\|_W^p < r\} \rho_0^{-1}}.$$

Then, there exists an open interval $\Lambda \subset (0, \infty)$ and a constant $\mu > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the equation $\mathcal{E}_\lambda = \Phi - \lambda J$ admits at least three distinct

critical points: $u_\lambda^1, u_\lambda^2, u_\lambda^3 \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; V)$ such that

$$\max\{\|u_\lambda^1\|_W, \|u_\lambda^2\|_W, \|u_\lambda^3\|_W\} < \mu. \quad (20)$$

It remains to show that $|u_\lambda^i(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Using Lemma 5 and taking into account the estimate (20), the claim follows immediately. ■

Acknowledgement

This research supported by Grant PN. II, ID_527/2007 from MEdC-ANCS.

References

- [1] G. Bonanno, Some remarks on a three critical points theorem, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, **54** (2003), 651–665.
- [2] J. I. Diaz, *Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries. Vol. I. Elliptic equations*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 106. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.
- [3] K. Pflüger, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a p-Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **10** (1998), 1–13.
- [4] B. Ricceri, On a three critical points theorem, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **75** (2000), 220–226.
- [5] B. Ricceri, A three critical points theorem revisited, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, **70** (2008), 3084–3089.
- [6] J. Su, Z.-Q. Wang, M. Willem, Weighted Sobolev embedding with unbounded and decaying radial potentials, *J. Differential Equations*, **238** (2007), 201–219.

Received: April 25, 2009