



gr-n-ideals in graded commutative rings

Khaldoun Al-Zoubi

Department of Mathematics and
Statistics, Jordan University of Science
and Technology, Irbid, Jordan
email: kfzoubi@just.edu.jo

Farah Al-Turman

Department of Mathematics,
University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan
email: farahgha13@gmail.com

Ece Yetkin Celikel

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Art and Science,
Gaziantep University Gaziantep, Turkey
email: yetkinece@gmail.com

Abstract. Let G be a group with identity e and let R be a G -graded ring. In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of gr - n -ideals of R . We obtain many results concerning gr - n -ideals. Some characterizations of gr - n -ideals and their homogeneous components are given.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this article, rings are assumed to be commutative with $1 \neq 0$. Let R be a ring, I be a proper ideal of R . By \sqrt{I} , we mean the radical of I which is $\{r \in R : r^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$. In particular, $\sqrt{0}$ is the set of nilpotent elements in R . Recall from [11] that a proper ideal I of R is said to be an n -ideal if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $ab \in I$ with $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ implies $b \in I$. For $a \in R$, we define $\text{Ann}(a) = \{r \in R : ra = 0\}$.

The scope of this paper is devoted to the theory of graded commutative rings. One use of rings with gradings is in describing certain topics in algebraic

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A02, 16W50

Key words and phrases: gr - n -ideals, gr -prime ideals, graded rings

geometry. Here, in particular, we are dealing with gr-n-ideals in a G-graded commutative ring.

First, we recall some basic properties of graded rings which will be used in the sequel. We refer to [6]-[8] for these basic properties and more information on graded rings.

Let G be a group with identity e . A ring R is called graded (or more precisely, G -graded) if there exists a family of subgroups $\{R_g\}$ of R such that $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ (as abelian groups) indexed by the elements $g \in G$, and $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. The summands R_g are called homogeneous components and elements of these summands are called homogeneous elements. If $a \in R$, then a can be written uniquely $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g$ where a_g is the component of a in R_g . Also, we write $h(R) = \bigcup_{g \in G} R_g$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ be a G -graded ring. An ideal I of R is said to be a graded ideal if $I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (I \cap R_g) := \bigoplus_{g \in G} I_g$. An ideal of a graded ring need not be graded.

If I is a graded ideal of R , then the quotient ring R/I is a G -graded ring. Indeed, $R/I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (R/I)_g$ where $(R/I)_g = \{x + I : x \in R_g\}$. A G -graded ring R is called a *graded integral domain* (*gr-integral domain*) if whenever $r_g, s_h \in h(R)$ with $r_g s_h = 0$, then either $r_g = 0$ or $s_h = 0$.

The *graded radical* of a graded ideal I , denoted by $\text{Gr}(I)$, is the set of all $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g \in R$ such that for each $g \in G$ there exists $n_g \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_g^{n_g} \in I$. Note that, if r is a homogeneous element, then $r \in \text{Gr}(I)$ if and only if $r^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (see [10].)

Let R be a G -graded ring. A graded ideal I of R is said to be a *graded prime* (*gr-prime*) if $I \neq R$; and whenever $r_g, s_h \in h(R)$ with $r_g s_h \in I$, then either $r_g \in I$ or $s_h \in I$, (see [10].)

The concepts of graded primary ideals and graded weakly primary ideals of a graded ring have been introduced in [9] and [5], respectively. Let I be a proper graded ideal of a graded ring R . Then I is called a *graded primary* (*gr-primary*) (*resp. graded weakly primary*) *ideal* if whenever $r_g, s_h \in h(R)$ and $r_g s_h \in I$ (*resp.* $0 \neq r_g s_h \in I$), then either $r_g \in I$ or $s_h \in \text{Gr}(I)$.

Graded 2-absorbing and graded weakly 2-absorbing ideals of a commutative graded rings have been introduced in [2]. According to that paper, I is said to be a *graded 2-absorbing* (*resp. graded weakly 2-absorbing*) *ideal* of R if whenever $r_g, s_h, t_i \in h(R)$ with $r_g s_h t_i \in I$ (*resp.* $0 \neq r_g s_h t_i \in I$), then $r_g s_h \in I$ or $r_g t_i \in I$ or $s_h t_i \in I$.

Then the graded 2-absorbing primary and graded weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals defined and studied in [4]. A graded ideal I is said to be a *graded 2-absorbing primary* (*resp. graded weakly 2-absorbing primary*) *ideal* of R if

whenever $r_g, s_h, t_i \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{R})$ with $r_g s_h t_i \in I$ (resp. $0 \neq r_g s_h t_i \in I$), then $r_g s_h \in I$ or $r_g t_i \in \text{Gr}(I)$ or $s_h t_i \in \text{Gr}(I)$.

Recently, R. Abu-Dawwas and M. Bataineh in [1] introduced and studied the concepts of graded \mathbf{r} -ideals of a commutative graded rings. A proper graded ideal I of \mathbf{R} is said to be a *graded \mathbf{r} -ideal (gr- \mathbf{r} -ideal)* of \mathbf{R} if whenever $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $r_g s_h \in I$ and $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{0\}$, then $s_h \in I$.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of graded \mathbf{n} -ideals (gr- \mathbf{n} -ideals) and investigate the basic properties and facts concerning gr- \mathbf{n} -ideals.

2 Results

Definition 1 *Let \mathbf{R} be a \mathbf{G} -graded ring. A proper graded ideal I of \mathbf{R} is called a graded \mathbf{n} -ideal of \mathbf{R} if whenever $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{R})$ with $r_g s_h \in I$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$, then $r_g \in I$. In short, we call it a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal.*

Example 1 (i) *Suppose that (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{M}) is a graded local ring with unique graded prime ideal. Then every graded ideal is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal.*

(ii) *In any graded integral domain \mathbf{D} , the graded zero ideal is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal.*

(iii) *Any graded ring \mathbf{R} need not have a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal. For instance, let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{Z}_6$ be a \mathbf{G} -graded ring with $\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and $\mathbf{R}_1 = \{0\}$. Then \mathbf{R} has not any gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal.*

Lemma 1 *Let \mathbf{R} be a \mathbf{G} -graded ring and I be a graded ideal of \mathbf{R} . If I is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal of \mathbf{R} , then $I \subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$.*

Proof. Assume that I is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal and $I \not\subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$. Then there exists $r_g \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{R}) \cap I$ such that $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Since $r_g 1 = r_g \in I$ and I is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal, we get $1 \in I$, so $I = \mathbf{R}$, a contradiction. Hence $I \subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$. \square

Theorem 1 *Let \mathbf{R} be a \mathbf{G} -graded ring and I be a gr-prime ideal of \mathbf{R} . Then I is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal of \mathbf{R} if and only if $I = \text{Gr}(0)$.*

Proof. Assume that I is a gr-prime ideal of \mathbf{R} . It is easy to see $\text{Gr}(0) \subseteq \text{Gr}(I) = I$. If I is a gr- \mathbf{n} -ideal of \mathbf{R} , by Lemma 1, we have $I \subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$ and so $I = \text{Gr}(0)$. For the converse, assume that $I = \text{Gr}(0)$. Let $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $r_g s_h \in I$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Since I is a gr-prime ideal and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0) = I$, we get $s_h \in I$. \square

Corollary 1 *Let R be a G -graded ring. Then $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R if and only if it is a gr-prime ideal of R .*

Proof. Assume that $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R . Let $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$ such that $r_g s_h \in \text{Gr}(0)$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Then $s_h \in \text{Gr}(0)$ as $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R . Hence $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-prime ideal of R . Conversely, Assume that $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-prime ideal of R , by Theorem 1, we conclude that $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R . \square

The following theorem give us a characterization of gr-n-ideal of a graded rings.

Theorem 2 *Let R be a graded ring and I be a proper graded ideal of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) I is a gr-n-ideal of R .
- (ii) $I = (I :_R r_g)$ for every $r_g \in \mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)$.
- (iii) For every graded ideals J and K of R such that $JK \subseteq I$ and $J \cap (\mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)) \neq \emptyset$ implies $K \subseteq I$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that I is a gr-n-ideal of R . Let $r_g \in \mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)$. Clearly, $I \subseteq (I :_R r_g)$. Now, Let $s = \sum_{h \in G} s_h \in (I :_R r_g)$. This yields that $r_g s_h \in I$ for each $h \in G$. Since I is a gr-n-ideal of R and $r_g \in \mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)$, we have $s_h \in I$ for each $h \in G$ and so $s \in I$. This implies that $(I :_R r_g) \subseteq I$. Therefore, $I = (I :_R r_g)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume that $JK \subseteq I$ with $J \cap (\mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)) \neq \emptyset$ for graded ideals J and K of R . Then there exists $r_g \in J \cap \mathfrak{h}(R)$ such that $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Hence $r_g K \subseteq I$, it follows that $K \subseteq (I :_R r_g)$. By our assumption, we obtain $K \subseteq (I :_R r_g) = I$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$ such that $r_g s_h \in I$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Let $J = r_g R$ and $K = s_h R$ be two graded ideals of R generated by r_g and s_h , respectively. Then $JK \subseteq I$. By our assumption, we obtain, $K \subseteq I$ and so $s_h \in I$. Thus I is a gr-n-ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3 *Let R be a G -graded ring and $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a non empty set of gr-n-ideals of R . Then $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i$ is gr-n-ideal of R .*

Proof. Clearly, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$ is a graded ideal of R . Let $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$ such that $r_g s_h \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Then $r_g s_h \in I_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since I_α is a gr-n-ideal of R , we have $s_h \in I_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$ thus $s_h \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$. \square

Theorem 4 *Let R be a G -graded ring and I be a graded ideal of R . If I is a gr - n -ideal of R , then I is a gr - r -ideal of R .*

Proof. Assume that I is a gr - n -ideal of R . Let $r_g, s_h \in h(R)$ such that $r_g s_h \in I$ and $ann(r_g) = 0$. Since $ann(r_g) = 0$, $r_g \notin Gr(0)$. Then $s_h \in I$ as I is a gr - n -ideal. Thus I is a gr - r -ideal of R . \square

Remark 1 *It is easy to see that every graded nilpotent element is also a graded zero divisor. So graded zero divisors and graded nilpotent elements are equal in case $\langle 0 \rangle$ is a graded primary ideal of R . Thus the gr - n -ideals and gr - r -ideals are equivalent in any graded commutative ring whose graded zero ideal is graded primary.*

Recall that a G -graded ring R is called a G -graded reduced ring if $r^2 = 0$ implies $r = 0$ for any $r \in h(R)$; i.e. $Gr(0) = 0$.

Theorem 5 *Let R be a G -graded ring. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *Any G -graded reduced ring R , which is not graded integral domain, has no gr - n -ideal.*
- (ii) *If R is a G -graded reduced ring, then R is a graded integral domain if and only if 0 is a gr - n -ideal.*

Proof. (i) Let R be a G -graded reduced ring such that R is not graded integral domain. Assume that there exists a gr - n -ideal I of R . Since R is a G -graded reduced ring, $Gr(0) = 0$. By Lemma 1, we get, $I \subseteq Gr(0) = 0$ and so $Gr(0) = 0 = I$. Since $Gr(0) = 0$ is not gr -prime ideal of R , by Corollary 1, we get $I = Gr(0)$ is not a gr - n -ideal, a contradiction.

(ii) Assume that R is a G -graded reduced ring. If R is a graded integral domain, then $Gr(0) = 0$ is a gr -prime ideal, and hence by Corollary 1, $0 = Gr(0)$ is a gr - n -ideal of R . For the converse if 0 is a gr - n -ideal of R , then by part (i) R is a graded integral domain. \square

Theorem 6 *Let R be a G -graded ring, I be a gr - n -ideal of R and $t_g \in h(R) - I$. Then $(I :_R t_g)$ is a gr - n -ideal of R .*

Proof. By [9, Proposition 1.13], $(I :_R t_g)$ is a graded ideal. Since $t_g \notin I$, $(I :_R t_g) \neq R$. Now, let $r_h, s_\lambda \in h(R)$ such that $r_h s_\lambda \in (I :_R t_g)$ and $r_h \notin Gr((I :_R t_g))$. Then $r_h s_\lambda t_g \in I$. Since I is a gr - n -ideal of R and $r_h \notin Gr(0)$, we get $s_\lambda t_g \in I$. This yields that $s_\lambda \in (I :_R t_g)$. Therefore, $(I :_R t_g)$ is a gr - n -ideal of R . \square

Theorem 7 *Let R be G -graded ring and I be a graded ideal of R . If I is a maximal gr-n-ideal of R , then $I = \text{Gr}(0)$.*

Proof. Assume that I is a maximal gr-n-ideal of R . Let $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$ such that $r_g s_h \in I$ and $r_g \notin I$. Since I is a gr-n-ideal and $r_g \notin I$, by Theorem 6, we have $(I :_R r_g)$ is a gr-n-ideal. Thus $s_h \in (I :_R r_g) = I$ by maximality of I . This yields that I is a gr-prime ideal of R . By Theorem 1, we get $I = \text{Gr}(0)$. \square

Lemma 2 *Let R be a G -graded ring and $\{I_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ be a directed collection of gr-n-ideals of R . Then $I = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$ is a gr-n-ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose that $r_g s_h \in I$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$ for some $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$. Hence $r_g s_h \in I_k$ for some $k \in \Lambda$. Since I_k is a gr-n-ideal of R , we conclude that $s_h \in I_k \subseteq \cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i = I$. Thus I is a gr-n-ideal. \square

Theorem 8 *Let R be a G -graded ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-prime ideal of R .
- (ii) There exists a gr-n-ideal of R .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It is clear by Corollary 1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) First we show that R has a maximal gr-n-ideal. Let D be the set of all gr-n-ideals of R . Then by our assumption, $D \neq \emptyset$. Since D is a poset by the set inclusion, take a chain $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ in D . We conclude that the upper bound of this chain is $I = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ by Lemma 2. Then D has a maximal element which is a maximal gr-n-ideal. Thus that ideal is $\text{Gr}(0)$ by Corollary 1 and Theorem 7. \square

In view of Lemma 1 and Theorem 8, we have the following result.

Theorem 9 *Let R be a G -graded ring and I a graded ideal of R such that $I \subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$.*

- (i) I is a gr-n-ideal if and only if I is a gr-primary ideal.
- (ii) If I is a gr-n-ideal, then I is a graded weakly primary (so graded weakly 2-absorbing primary) and graded 2-absorbing primary ideal.
- (iii) If $\text{Gr}(0)$ is gr-prime, then I is a graded weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal if and only if I is a graded 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .

- (iv) If R has at least one gr-n-ideal , then I is a graded weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal if and only if I is a graded 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 10 *Let R be a G -graded ring. Then R is a graded integral domain if and only if 0 is the only gr-n-ideal of R .*

Proof. Let R be a graded integral domain. Assume that I is a nonzero gr-n-ideal of R . Then we have $I \subseteq \text{Gr}(0) = 0$ by Lemma 1, a contradiction. Hence 0 is a gr-n-ideal by Example 1 (ii). Conversely, if 0 is the only gr-n-ideal , we get $\text{Gr}(0)$ is a gr-prime ideal and also a gr-n-ideal by Corollary 1 and Theorem 8. Hence $\text{Gr}(0) = 0$ is a gr-prime ideal . Thus R is a graded integral domain. □

Theorem 11 *Let R be a G -graded ring and J be a graded ideal of R with $J \cap (\mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)) \neq \emptyset$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If I_1 and I_2 are gr-n-ideals of R such that $I_1J = I_2J$, then $I_1 = I_2$.*
- (ii) *If IJ is a gr-n-ideal of R , then $IJ = I$.*

Proof.

(i) Suppose that $I_1J = I_2J$. Since $I_2J \subseteq I_1$, $J \cap (\mathfrak{h}(R) - \text{Gr}(0)) \neq \emptyset$, and I_1 is a gr-n-ideal , by Theorem 2, we conclude that $I_2 \subseteq I_1$. Similarly, since I_2 is a gr-n-ideal , we have the inverse inclusion.

(ii) It is clear from (i). □

For G -graded rings R and R' , a G -graded ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow R'$ is a ring homomorphism such that $f(R_g) \subseteq R'_g$ for every $g \in G$.

The following result studies the behavior of gr-n-ideals under graded homomorphism.

Theorem 12 *Let R_1 and R_2 be two G -graded rings and $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ a graded ring homomorphism. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If f is a graded epimorphism and I_1 is a gr-n-ideal of R_1 containing $\ker f$, then $f(I_1)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R_2 .*
- (ii) *If f is a graded monomorphism and I_2 is a gr-n-ideal of R_2 , then $f^{-1}(I_2)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R_1 .*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $r_g s_h \in f(I_1)$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0_{R_2})$ for some $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R_2)$. Since f is onto, $f(x_g) = r_g$, $f(y_h) = s_h$ for some $x_g, y_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R_1)$. Hence $f(x_g y_h) \in f(I_1)$ implies that $x_g y_h \in I_1$ as $\text{Ker} f \subseteq I_1$. It is clear that $x_g \notin \text{Gr}(0_{R_1})$. Since I_1 is a gr-n-ideal of R_1 , we conclude that $y_h \in I_1$; and so $s_h = f(y_h) \in f(I_1)$. Thus $f(I_1)$ is a gr-n-ideal of R_2 .

(ii) Suppose that $r_g s_h \in f^{-1}(I_2)$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0_{R_1})$ for some $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R_1)$. Since $\text{ker} f = \{0\}$, we have $f(r_g) \notin \text{Gr}(0_{R_2})$. Since $f(r_g s_h) = f(r_g) f(s_h) \in I_2$ and I_2 is a gr-n-ideal of R_2 , we conclude that $f(s_h) \in I_2$. It means $s_h \in f^{-1}(I_2)$, we are done. \square

Corollary 2 *Let I_1 and I_2 be two graded ideals of a G -graded ring R with $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If I_2 is a gr-n-ideal of R , then I_2/I_1 is a gr-n-ideal of R/I_1 .*
- (ii) *If I_2/I_1 is a gr-n-ideal of R/I_1 and $I_1 \subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$, then I_2 is a gr-n-ideal of R .*
- (iii) *If I_2/I_1 is a gr-n-ideal of R/I_1 and I_1 is a gr-n-ideal of R , then I_2 is a gr-n-ideal of R .*

Proof. (i) Considering the natural graded epimorphism $\Pi : R \rightarrow R/I_1$, the result is clear by Theorem 12.

(ii) Suppose that $r_g s_h \in I_2$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$ for some $r_g, s_h \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$. Hence $(r_g + I_1)(s_h + I_1) = r_g s_h + I_1 \in I_2/I_1$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0_{R/I_1})$. It implies that $s_h + I_1 \in I_2/I_1$. Thus $s_h \in I_1$, we are done.

(iii) Let I_2/I_1 be a gr-n-ideal of R/I_1 and I_1 a gr-n-ideal of R . Assume that I_2 is not gr-n-ideal. Then $I_1 \not\subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$ by (ii). From Lemma 1, we conclude that I_1 is not a gr-n-ideal, a contradiction. Thus I_2 is a gr-n-ideal of R . \square

Corollary 3 *Let R be a G -graded ring, I be a gr-n-ideal of R and S a subring of R with $S \not\subseteq I$. Then $I \cap S$ is a gr-n-ideal of S .*

Proof. Consider the injection $i : S \rightarrow R$. Then i is a graded homomorphism. Since I is a gr-n-ideal of R , $i^{-1}(I) = I \cap S$ is a gr-n-ideal of S by Theorem 12 (ii). \square

Let R be a G -graded ring and $S \subseteq \mathfrak{h}(R)$ a multiplicatively closed subset of R . Then graded ring of fractions is denoted by $S^{-1}R$ which defined by $S^{-1}R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (S^{-1}R)_g$ where $(S^{-1}R)_g = \{\frac{a}{s} : a \in R, s \in S, g = (\deg s)^{-1}(\deg a)\}$. A homogeneous element $r_g \in \mathfrak{h}(R)$ is said to be gr-regular if $\text{ann}(r_g) = 0$.

Observe that the set of all gr-regular elements of R is a multiplicatively closed subset of R .

The following result studies the behaviour of gr-n-ideal under localization.

Theorem 13 *Let R be a G -graded ring, $S \subseteq h(R)$ a multiplicatively closed subset of R . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If I is a gr-n-ideal of R , then $S^{-1}I$ is a gr-n-ideal of $S^{-1}R$.*
- (ii) *Let S be the set of all gr-regular elements of R . If J is a gr-n-ideal of $S^{-1}R$, then J^c is a gr-n-ideal of R .*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\frac{a}{s} \frac{b}{t} \in S^{-1}I$ with $\frac{a}{s} \notin \text{Gr}(0_{S^{-1}R})$ for some $\frac{a}{s}, \frac{b}{t} \in h(S^{-1}R)$. Hence there exists $u \in h(S)$ such that $uab \in I$. Clearly, we have $a \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. It implies that $ub \in I$; so $\frac{b}{t} = \frac{ub}{ut} \in S^{-1}I$. Thus $S^{-1}I$ is a gr-n-ideal of $S^{-1}R$.

(ii) Suppose that $a, b \in h(R)$ with $ab \in J^c$ and $b \notin J^c$. Then $\frac{b}{1} \notin J$. Since J is a gr-n-ideal, we have $\frac{a}{1} \in \text{Gr}(0_{S^{-1}R})$. Hence $ua^k = 0$ for some $u \in S$ and $k \geq 1$. Since u is gr-regular, $a^k = 0$; i.e. $a \in \text{Gr}(0)$. Thus J^c is a gr-n-ideal of R . \square

Definition 2 *Let S be a nonempty subset of a G -graded ring R with $h(R) - \text{Gr}(0) \subseteq S \subseteq h(R)$. Then we call S gr-n-multiplicatively closed subset of R if whenever $r_g \in h(R) - \text{Gr}(0)$ and $s_h \in S$, then $r_g s_h \in S$.*

Theorem 14 *Let I be a graded ideal of a G -graded ring R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *I is a gr-n-ideal of R .*
- (ii) *$h(R) - I$ is a gr-n-multiplicatively closed subset of R .*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let I be a gr-n-ideal of R . Suppose that $r_g \in h(R) - \text{Gr}(0)$ and $s_h \in h(R) - I$. Since $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$, $s_h \notin I$, and I is a gr-n-ideal of R , we conclude that $r_g s_h \notin I$. Therefore $r_g s_h \in h(R) - I$. Since I is a gr-n-ideal of R , we have $I \subseteq \text{Gr}(0)$ by Lemma 1. Then $h(R) - \text{Gr}(0) \subseteq h(R) - I$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that $r_g, s_h \in h(R)$ with $r_g s_h \in I$ and $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. If $s_h \in h(R) - I$, then from our assumption (ii), we have $r_g s_h \in h(R) - I$, a contradiction. Thus $s_h \in I$ which means that I is a gr-n-ideal of R . \square

Theorem 15 *Let I be a graded ideal of a G -graded ring R and S a gr-n-multiplicatively closed subset of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$. Then there exists a gr-n-ideal K of R such that $I \subseteq K$ and $K \cap S = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $D = \{J : J \text{ is a graded ideal of } R \text{ with } I \subseteq J \text{ and } J \cap S = \emptyset\}$. Observe that $D \neq \emptyset$ as $I \in D$. Suppose $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$ is a chain in D . Then $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i$ is a gr-n-ideal of R by Lemma 2. Since $I \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i$ and $(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i) \cap S = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (J_i \cap S) = \emptyset$, we get $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i$ is the upper bound of this chain. From Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal element K of D . We show that this maximal element K is a gr-n-ideal of R . Suppose that $r_g s_h \in K$ and $s_h \notin K$ for some $r_g, s_h \in h(R)$. Then $K \subsetneq (K :_R r_g)$. Since K is maximal, it implies that $(K :_R r_g) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Hence there is an element $t_\lambda \in (K :_R r_g) \cap S$. Then $r_g t_\lambda \in K$. If $r_g \in \text{Gr}(0)$, then we are done. So assume that $r_g \notin \text{Gr}(0)$. Since S is gr-n-multiplicatively closed, we conclude that $r_g t_\lambda \in S$. Thus $r_g t_\lambda \in S \cap K$, a contradiction. Therefore K is a gr-n-ideal of R . \square

References

- [1] R. Abu-Dawwas, M. Bataineh, Graded r-ideals, *Iran. J. Math. Sci.* Inform (accepted).
- [2] K. Al-Zoubi, R. Abu-Dawwas, S. Çeken, On graded 2-absorbing and graded weakly 2-absorbing ideals, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, **48** (3) (2019), 724–731.
- [3] K. Al-Zoubi, F. Qarqaz, An intersection condition for graded prime ideals, *Boll Unione Mat. Ital.*, **11** (4) (2018), 483–488.
- [4] K. Al-Zoubi, N. Sharafat, On graded 2-absorbing primary and graded weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **54** (2) (2017), 675–684.
- [5] S. E. Atani, On graded weakly primary ideals, *Quasigroups Related Systems*, **13** (2) (2005), 185–191.
- [6] C. Nastasescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded and filtered rings and modules*, Lecture notes in mathematics 758, Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [7] C. Nastasescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded Ring Theory*, Mathematical Library 28, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [8] C. Nastasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, LNM 1836. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2004.

- [9] M. Refai, K. Al-Zoubi, On graded primary ideals, *Turkish J. Math.*, **28** (3) (2004), 217–229.
- [10] M. Refai, M. Hailat, S. Obiedat, Graded Radicals and Graded Prime Spectra, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, part I (2000), 59–73.
- [11] U. Tekir, S. Koc, K. H. Oral, n-ideals of Commutative Rings, *Filomat*, **31** (10) (2017), 2933–2941.

Received: October 18, 2018