



Generalized operator for Alexander integral operator

H. Özlem Güney

Dicle University, Faculty of Science,
Department of Mathematics,
21280 Diyarbakır-Turkey
email: ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr

Shigeyoshi Owa

Honorary Professor
“1 Decembrie 1918” University
Alba Iulia, Romania
email: shige21@ican.zaq.ne.jp

Abstract. Let T_n be the class of functions f which are defined by a power series

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$$

for every z in the closed unit disc \overline{U} . With m different boundary points z_s , ($s = 1, 2, \dots, m$), we consider $\alpha_m \in e^{i\beta} A_{-j-\lambda} f(U)$, here $A_{-j-\lambda}$ is the generalized Alexander integral operator and U is the open unit disc. Applying $A_{-j-\lambda}$, a subclass $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ of T_n is defined with fractional integral for functions f . The object of present paper is to consider some interesting properties of f to be in $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$.

1 Introduction

Let T_n be the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad (1)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50

Key words and phrases: analytic function, Alexander integral operator, fractional integral, gamma function, Miller and Mocanu lemma

that are analytic in the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{U}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$. For $f \in T_n$, J.W.Alexander [2] had defined the following the Alexander integral operator $A_{-1}f(z)$ given by

$$A_{-1}f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} z^k. \quad (2)$$

The above the Alexander integral operator was applied for some subclasses of analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ by M.Acu [1] and by K. Kugita et al. [4].

For the above the Alexander integral operator $A_{-1}f(z)$, we consider

$$A_{-j}f(z) = A_{-j+1}(A_{-1}f(z)) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k^j} z^k, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3)$$

where $A_0f(z) = f(z)$.

From the various definitions of fractional calculus of $f \in T_n$ (that is, fractional integrals and fractional derivatives) given in the literature, we would like to recall here the following definitions for fractional calculus which were used by Owa [7] and Owa and Srivastava [8].

Definition 1 *The fractional integral of order λ for $f \in T_n$ is defined by*

$$D_z^{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\lambda}} dt, \quad (\lambda > 0) \quad (4)$$

where f is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $z-t > 0$ and Γ is the Gamma function.

With the above definition, we know that

$$D_z^{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} z^{1+\lambda} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+1+\lambda)} a_k z^{k+\lambda} \quad (5)$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in T_n$. Further applying the fractional integral for $f \in T_n$, we define a new operator $A_{-\lambda}f(z)$ given by

$$A_{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right)} z^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} D_z^{-\lambda} \left(z^{\frac{-1-\lambda}{2}} f(z) \right), \quad (6)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. If $\lambda = 0$, then (6) becomes $A_0 f(z) = f(z)$ and if $\lambda = 1$, then (6) leads us that

$$A_{-1} f(z) = D_z^{-1} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt. \quad (7)$$

With this integral operator, we know

$$A_{-j-\lambda} f(z) = A_{-j} (A_{-\lambda} f(z)) \quad (8)$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. This operator $A_{-j-\lambda} f(z)$ is the generalization of the Alexander integral operator $A_{-1} f(z)$. Here, we note that

$$A_{-\lambda} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right)} a_k z^k \quad (9)$$

and

$$A_{-j-\lambda} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right)} k^j a_k z^k \quad (10)$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. From the above, we know that

$$A_{-j-\lambda} f(z) = A_{-j} (A_{-\lambda} f(z)) = A_{-\lambda} (A_{-j} f(z)) \quad (11)$$

for $f \in T_n$. For m different boundary points $z_s (s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m)$ with $|z_s| = 1$, we consider

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_s)}{z_s}, \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha_m \in e^{i\beta} A_{-j-\lambda} f(\mathbb{U})$, $\alpha_m \neq 1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. For such α_m , if $f \in T_n$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\beta} \frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z)}{z} - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 \right| < \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (13)$$

for some real $\rho > 0$, we say that the function f belongs to the subclass $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ of T_n . With this definition for the class $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$, we see that the condition (13) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (14)$$

If we consider the function $f \in T_n$ given by

$$f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3+\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3-\lambda}{2}\right)} \rho (e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m)(n+1)^j z^{n+1} \quad (15)$$

then f satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| |z|^n < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, f given by (15) belongs to the class $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$.

Discussing our problems for $f \in B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$, we have to introduce the following lemma due to S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu [5, 6] (also, due to I. S. Jack [3]).

Lemma 1 *Let the function w given by*

$$w(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (17)$$

be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r$ at a point z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) then there exists a real number $k \geq n$ such that

$$\frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{w(z_0)} = k \quad (18)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) \geq k. \quad (19)$$

2 Properties of functions concerning with the class $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$

Our first property for $f \in T_n$ is as follows.

Theorem 1 *If $f \in T_n$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| n \rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (20)$$

for some α_m defined by (12) with $\alpha_m \neq 1$ such that $z_s \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ ($s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$), and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (21)$$

that is, $f \in B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$.

Proof. We introduce the function w by

$$w(z) = \frac{e^{i\beta} \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 = \frac{e^{i\beta}}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \left\{ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right) k^j} \alpha_k z^{k-1} \right\}. \quad (22)$$

Then, w is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} = 1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z). \quad (23)$$

It follows from the above that

$$\frac{z(A_{-j-\lambda}f(z))'}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 = \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z)}. \quad (24)$$

Note that

$$z(A_{-j-\lambda}f(z))' = A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z). \quad (25)$$

So, (24) is the same as

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 = \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z)}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, our condition (20) gives that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z)} \right| < \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|n\rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|\rho}. \quad (27)$$

Now, we suppose that there exists a point z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) such that

$$\max\{|w(z)|; |z| \leq |z_0|\} = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1. \quad (28)$$

Then, we can write that $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$, ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$) and $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$, ($k \geq n$) by Lemma 1. For such a point z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_0)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)z_0 w'(z_0)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z_0)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)k\rho}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)\rho e^{i\theta}} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{|1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m|n\rho}{1 + |1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m|\rho} \\ &= \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|n\rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since (29) contradicts our condition (20), we know that there is no z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) such that $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$. Therefore, using $|w(z)| < \rho$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, we have that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{e^{i\beta} \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right)}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_n} \right| < \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (30)$$

that is, that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_n \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (31)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Example 1 We consider the function $f \in T_n$ given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (32)$$

Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{P(n, j, \lambda)na_{n+1}z^n}{1 + P(n, j, \lambda)a_{n+1}z^n} \right| \\ &< \frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)}{P(n, j, \lambda)} \quad (34)$$

and

$$P(n, j, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3+\lambda}{2}\right) (n+1)^j}. \quad (35)$$

Now, we consider five boundary points

$$z_1 = e^{-i \frac{\arg(a_{n+1})}{n}} \quad (36)$$

$$z_2 = e^{i \frac{\pi - 6\arg(a_{n+1})}{6n}} \quad (37)$$

$$z_3 = e^{i \frac{\pi - 4\arg(a_{n+1})}{4n}} \quad (38)$$

$$z_4 = e^{i \frac{\pi - 3\arg(a_{n+1})}{3n}} \quad (39)$$

and

$$z_5 = e^{i \frac{\pi - 2 \arg(a_{n+1})}{2n}}. \quad (40)$$

For such z_s ($s = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$), we have that

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_1)}{z_1} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|, \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_2)}{z_2} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \frac{\sqrt{3} + i}{2}, \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_3)}{z_3} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 + i)}{2}, \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_4)}{z_4} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}, \quad (44)$$

and

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_5)}{z_5} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|i. \quad (45)$$

It follows from the above that

$$\alpha_5 = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{s=1}^5 \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_s)}{z_s} = 1 + \frac{(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|(1 + i)}{10} \quad (46)$$

and that

$$\left| 1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_5 \right| = \frac{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{10} \quad (47)$$

with $\beta = 0$. For such α_5 and β , we consider $\rho > 1$ with

$$\frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|} \leq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|n\rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|\rho}. \quad (48)$$

This gives us that

$$\rho \geq \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(1 - (1 + |a_{n+1}|)P(n, j, \lambda))} > \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})} > 1. \quad (49)$$

For such α_5 and $\rho > 1$, the function f satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Next, we derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *If $f \in T_n$ satisfies*

$$\left| \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| < \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 n \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (50)$$

for some α_m defined by (12) with $\alpha_m \neq 1$ and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (51)$$

that is, $f \in B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$.

Proof. Define the function w by (22). Applying (25), our condition (50) leads us that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)^2 z w(z) w'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m) w(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 n \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Suppose that there exists a point z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) such that

$$\max\{|w(z)|; |z| \leq |z_0|\} = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1. \quad (53)$$

Then, applying Lemma 1, we write that $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$, ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$) and $z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0)$, ($k \geq n$). This shows us that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)^2 z_0 w(z_0) w'(z_0)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m) w(z_0)} \right| \\ &= \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 \rho^2 k}{|1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m) \rho e^{i\theta}|} \\ &\geq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 n \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

which contradicts our condition (50). Thus there is no z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) such that $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$. This shows us that

$$\left| \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (55)$$

□

Example 2 Consider a function $f \in T_n$ given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (56)$$

with $0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{P(n, j, \lambda)}$, where $P(n, j, \lambda)$ is given by (35). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)^2 a_{n+1}^2 z^{2n}}{1 + P(n, j, \lambda)a_{n+1}z^n} \right| \\ &< \frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)^2 |a_{n+1}|^2}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Considering five boundary points z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 and z_5 in Example 1, we see that

$$\left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5 \right| = \frac{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{10} \quad (58)$$

with $\beta = 0$. If we consider $\rho > 1$ such that

$$\frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)^2 |a_{n+1}|^2 |z|}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|} \leq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|^2 \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5| \rho}, \quad (59)$$

then ρ satisfies

$$\rho \geq \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|} > 1. \quad (60)$$

For such α_5 and ρ , f satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (61)$$

Our next result reads as follows.

Theorem 3 If $f \in T_n$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|(n+1), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (62)$$

for some α_m defined by (12) with $\alpha_m \neq 1$ and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (63)$$

where $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j$.

Proof. We consider the function w defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{e^{i\beta} \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 \\ &= \frac{e^{i\beta}}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \left\{ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right) k^{j-p+1}} a_k z^{k-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Thus w is analytic in \mathbb{U} , $w(0) = 0$, and

$$A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z) = z + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw(z). \quad (65)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z) &= z(A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z))' \\ &= z \left\{ 1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z) \left(1 + \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| &= \left| 1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m \right| |w(z)| \left| 1 + \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \right| \\ &< \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| (n+1), \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

by the condition (62). Suppose that there exists a point z_0 , ($0 < |z_0| < 1$) such that

$$\max\{|w(z)|; |z| \leq |z_0|\} = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1. \quad (68)$$

Then, letting $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$, ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$) and $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$, ($k \geq n$) with Lemma 1, we see that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z_0)}{z_0} - 1 \right| = \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| (k+1) \geq \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| (n+1). \quad (69)$$

This contradicts the inequality (67). Therefore, we don't have any $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$. This shows us that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \left(\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| < \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (70)$$

that is, that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (71)$$

This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

Corollary 1 If $f \in T_n$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (72)$$

for some α_m given by (12) with $\alpha_m \neq 1$, and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (73)$$

where $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j$.

Proof. With Theorem 3, we say that if $f \in T_n$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (74)$$

then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^{p-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (75)$$

Further, we have that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-2}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^{p-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (76)$$

from (75). Finally, we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (77)$$

□

Example 3 Consider the function $f \in T_n$ given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (78)$$

Since

$$A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3+\lambda}{2}\right) (n+1)^{j-p+2}} a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, \quad (79)$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = \left| P(n, j, \lambda)(n+1)^{p-2} a_{n+1}z^n \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)(n+1)^{p-2} |a_{n+1}| \quad (80)$$

where

$$0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{P(n, j, \lambda)} \quad (81)$$

and $P(n, j, \lambda)$ is given by (35).

Consider five boundary points z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 and z_5 in Example 1. Then α_5 satisfies (46) and $|1 - e^{-i\beta}\alpha_5|$ satisfies (47) for $\beta = 0$. For such α_5 and β , we consider $\rho > 1$ by

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)(n+1)^{p-2}|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5 \right| (n+1)^{p-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (82)$$

Then ρ satisfies

$$\rho \geq \frac{P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|} = \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})} > 1. \quad (83)$$

With the above α_5 and ρ , we have

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5 \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (84)$$

References

- [1] M. Acu, Some preserving properties of the generalized Alexander integral operator, *General Math.*, **10** (2002), 37–46.
- [2] J. W. Alexander, Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions, *Ann. of Math.*, Second Series, **17** (1915), 12–22.
- [3] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , *J. London Math. Soc.*, **2** (1971), 469–474.
- [4] K. Kugita, K. Kuroki and S. Owa, On (α, δ) -neighborhood defined by a new operator for certain analytic functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, **47** (2010), 1–12.
- [5] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **65** (1978), 289–305.
- [6] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, (2000).

- [7] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **18** (1978), 53–59.
- [8] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, **39** (1987), 1057–1077.

Received: April 29, 2020