



# Generalized operator for Alexander integral operator

H. Özlem Güney

Dicle University, Faculty of Science,  
Department of Mathematics,  
21280 Diyarbakır-Turkey  
email: ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr

Shigeyoshi Owa

Honorary Professor  
“1 Decembrie 1918” University  
Alba Iulia, Romania  
email: shige21@ican.zaq.ne.jp

**Abstract.** Let  $T_n$  be the class of functions  $f$  which are defined by a power series

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$$

for every  $z$  in the closed unit disc  $\bar{U}$ . With  $m$  different boundary points  $z_s$ , ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), we consider  $\alpha_m \in e^{i\beta} A_{-j-\lambda} f(U)$ , here  $A_{-j-\lambda}$  is the generalized Alexander integral operator and  $U$  is the open unit disc. Applying  $A_{-j-\lambda}$ , a subclass  $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$  of  $T_n$  is defined with fractional integral for functions  $f$ . The object of present paper is to consider some interesting properties of  $f$  to be in  $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $T_n$  be the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad (1)$$

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45, 30C50

**Key words and phrases:** analytic function, Alexander integral operator, fractional integral, gamma function, Miller and Mocanu lemma

that are analytic in the closed unit disc  $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . For  $f \in T_n$ , J.W.Alexander [2] had defined the following the Alexander integral operator  $A_{-1}f(z)$  given by

$$A_{-1}f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} z^k. \tag{2}$$

The above the Alexander integral operator was applied for some subclasses of analytic functions in the open unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  by M.Acu [1] and by K. Kugita et al. [4].

For the above the Alexander integral operator  $A_{-1}f(z)$ , we consider

$$A_{-j}f(z) = A_{-j+1}(A_{-1}f(z)) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k^j} z^k, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3}$$

where  $A_0f(z) = f(z)$ .

From the various definitions of fractional calculus of  $f \in T_n$  (that is, fractional integrals and fractional derivatives) given in the literature, we would like to recall here the following definitions for fractional calculus which were used by Owa [7] and Owa and Srivastava [8].

**Definition 1** *The fractional integral of order  $\lambda$  for  $f \in T_n$  is defined by*

$$D_z^{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\lambda}} dt, \quad (\lambda > 0) \tag{4}$$

where  $f$  is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{\lambda-1}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real when  $z-t > 0$  and  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function.

With the above definition, we know that

$$D_z^{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} z^{1+\lambda} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+1+\lambda)} a_k z^{k+\lambda} \tag{5}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f \in T_n$ . Further applying the fractional integral for  $f \in T_n$ , we define a new operator  $A_{-\lambda}f(z)$  given by

$$A_{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right)} z^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} D_z^{-\lambda} \left( z^{\frac{-1-\lambda}{2}} f(z) \right), \tag{6}$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then (6) becomes  $A_0f(z) = f(z)$  and if  $\lambda = 1$ , then (6) leads us that

$$A_{-1}f(z) = D_z^{-1} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt. \tag{7}$$

With this integral operator, we know

$$A_{-j-\lambda}f(z) = A_{-j}(A_{-\lambda}f(z)) \tag{8}$$

where  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . This operator  $A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)$  is the generalization of the Alexander integral operator  $A_{-1}f(z)$ . Here, we note that

$$A_{-\lambda}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right)} a_k z^k \tag{9}$$

and

$$A_{-j-\lambda}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right)} k^j a_k z^k \tag{10}$$

where  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . From the above, we know that

$$A_{-j-\lambda}f(z) = A_{-j}(A_{-\lambda}f(z)) = A_{-\lambda}(A_{-j}f(z)) \tag{11}$$

for  $f \in T_n$ . For  $m$  different boundary points  $z_s (s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m)$  with  $|z_s| = 1$ , we consider

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_s)}{z_s}, \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha_m \in e^{i\beta} A_{-j-\lambda}f(\mathbb{U})$ ,  $\alpha_m \neq 1$  and  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . For such  $\alpha_m$ , if  $f \in T_n$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\beta} \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 \right| < \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{13}$$

for some real  $\rho > 0$ , we say that the function  $f$  belongs to the subclass  $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$  of  $T_n$ . With this definition for the class  $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ , we see that the condition (13) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{14}$$

If we consider the function  $f \in T_n$  given by

$$f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3+\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3-\lambda}{2}\right)}\rho(e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m)(n+1)^j z^{n+1} \tag{15}$$

then  $f$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| |z|^n < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{16}$$

Therefore,  $f$  given by (15) belongs to the class  $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ .

Discussing our problems for  $f \in B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ , we have to introduce the following lemma due to S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu [5, 6] (also, due to I. S. Jack [3]).

**Lemma 1** *Let the function  $w$  given by*

$$w(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{17}$$

*be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$ . If  $|w(z)|$  attains its maximum value on the circle  $|z| = r$  at a point  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) then there exists a real number  $k \geq n$  such that*

$$\frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{w(z_0)} = k \tag{18}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) \geq k. \tag{19}$$

## 2 Properties of functions concerning with the class $B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$

Our first property for  $f \in T_n$  is as follows.

**Theorem 1** *If  $f \in T_n$  satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| n \rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{20}$$

*for some  $\alpha_m$  defined by (12) with  $\alpha_m \neq 1$  such that  $z_s \in \partial\mathbb{U}$  ( $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ ), and for some real  $\rho > 1$ , then*

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{21}$$

*that is,  $f \in B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ .*

**Proof.** We introduce the function  $w$  by

$$w(z) = \frac{e^{i\beta} \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 = \frac{e^{i\beta}}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \left\{ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right) k^j} \alpha_k z^{k-1} \right\}. \tag{22}$$

Then,  $w$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} = 1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z). \tag{23}$$

It follows from the above that

$$\frac{z(A_{-j-\lambda}f(z))'}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 = \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z)}. \tag{24}$$

Note that

$$z(A_{-j-\lambda}f(z))' = A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z). \tag{25}$$

So, (24) is the same as

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 = \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z)}. \tag{26}$$

Thus, our condition (20) gives that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z)} \right| < \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|n\rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|\rho}. \tag{27}$$

Now, we suppose that there exists a point  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) such that

$$\max\{|w(z)|; |z| \leq |z_0|\} = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1. \tag{28}$$

Then, we can write that  $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$ , ( $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ) and  $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$ , ( $k \geq n$ ) by Lemma 1. For such a point  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z_0)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z_0)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)z_0 w'(z_0)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z_0)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)k\rho}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)\rho e^{i\theta}} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{|1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m|n\rho}{1 + |1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m|\rho} \\ &= \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|n\rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|\rho}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since (29) contradicts our condition (20), we know that there is no  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) such that  $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$ . Therefore, using  $|w(z)| < \rho$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , we have that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{e^{i\beta} \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right)}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \right| < \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{30}$$

that is, that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{31}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Example 1** We consider the function  $f \in T_n$  given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{32}$$

Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{P(n, j, \lambda)na_{n+1}z^n}{1 + P(n, j, \lambda)a_{n+1}z^n} \right| \\ &< \frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where

$$0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)}{P(n, j, \lambda)} \tag{34}$$

and

$$P(n, j, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3+\lambda}{2}\right)(n+1)^j}. \tag{35}$$

Now, we consider five boundary points

$$z_1 = e^{-i\frac{\arg(a_{n+1})}{n}} \tag{36}$$

$$z_2 = e^{i\frac{\pi-6\arg(a_{n+1})}{6n}} \tag{37}$$

$$z_3 = e^{i\frac{\pi-4\arg(a_{n+1})}{4n}} \tag{38}$$

$$z_4 = e^{i\frac{\pi-3\arg(a_{n+1})}{3n}} \tag{39}$$

and

$$z_5 = e^{i \frac{\pi - 2 \arg(a_{n+1})}{2n}}. \tag{40}$$

For such  $z_s (s = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ , we have that

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_1)}{z_1} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}|, \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_2)}{z_2} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}| \frac{\sqrt{3} + i}{2}, \tag{42}$$

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_3)}{z_3} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}| \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 + i)}{2}, \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_4)}{z_4} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}| \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}, \tag{44}$$

and

$$\frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_5)}{z_5} = 1 + P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}| i. \tag{45}$$

It follows from the above that

$$\alpha_5 = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{s=1}^5 \frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z_s)}{z_s} = 1 + \frac{(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}| (1 + i)}{10} \tag{46}$$

and that

$$\left| 1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_5 \right| = \frac{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}|}{10} \tag{47}$$

with  $\beta = 0$ . For such  $\alpha_5$  and  $\beta$ , we consider  $\rho > 1$  with

$$\frac{nP(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}|}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}|} \leq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5| n\rho}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5| \rho}. \tag{48}$$

This gives us that

$$\rho \geq \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(1 - (1 + |a_{n+1}|)P(n, j, \lambda))} > \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})} > 1. \tag{49}$$

For such  $\alpha_5$  and  $\rho > 1$ , the function  $f$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda} f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda) |a_{n+1}| \leq \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Next, we derive the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** *If  $f \in T_n$  satisfies*

$$\left| \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| < \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 n \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (50)$$

for some  $\alpha_m$  defined by (12) with  $\alpha_m \neq 1$  and for some real  $\rho > 1$ , then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (51)$$

that is,  $f \in B_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho; j, \lambda)$ .

**Proof.** Define the function  $w$  by (22). Applying (25), our condition (50) leads us that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)^2 z w(z) w'(z)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m) w(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 n \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) such that

$$\max\{|w(z)|; |z| \leq |z_0|\} = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1. \quad (53)$$

Then, applying Lemma 1, we write that  $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$ , ( $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ) and  $z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0)$ , ( $k \geq n$ ). This shows us that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)^2 z_0 w(z_0) w'(z_0)}{1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m) w(z_0)} \right| \\ &= \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 \rho^2 k}{|1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m) \rho e^{i\theta}|} \\ &\geq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|^2 n \rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| \rho} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

which contradicts our condition (50). Thus there is no  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) such that  $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$ . This shows us that

$$\left| \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (55)$$

□

**Example 2** Consider a function  $f \in T_n$  given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{56}$$

with  $0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{P(n, j, \lambda)}$ , where  $P(n, j, \lambda)$  is given by (35). It follows that

$$\left| \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+1}f(z)}{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| = \left| \frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)^2 a_{n+1}^2 z^{2n}}{1 + P(n, j, \lambda)a_{n+1}z^n} \right| \tag{57}$$

$$< \frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)^2 |a_{n+1}|^2}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Considering five boundary points  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  and  $z_5$  in Example 1, we see that

$$\left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5 \right| = \frac{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{10} \tag{58}$$

with  $\beta = 0$ . If we consider  $\rho > 1$  such that

$$\frac{nP(n, j, \lambda)^2 |a_{n+1}|^2 |z|}{1 - P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|} \leq \frac{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|^2 n\rho^2}{1 + |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|\rho}, \tag{59}$$

then  $\rho$  satisfies

$$\rho \geq \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|} > 1. \tag{60}$$

For such  $\alpha_5$  and  $\rho$ ,  $f$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|, z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{61}$$

Our next result reads as follows.

**Theorem 3** If  $f \in T_n$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|(n + 1), z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{62}$$

for some  $\alpha_m$  defined by (12) with  $\alpha_m \neq 1$  and for some real  $\rho > 1$ , then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{63}$$

where  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j$ .

**Proof.** We consider the function  $w$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(z) &= \frac{e^{i\beta} \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 \\
 &= \frac{e^{i\beta}}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \left\{ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1+\lambda}{2}\right) k^{j-p+1}} \alpha_k z^{k-1} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{64}$$

Thus  $w$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ , and

$$A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z) = z + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)zw(z).
 \tag{65}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z) &= z(A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z))' \\
 &= z \left\{ 1 + (1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m)w(z) \left( 1 + \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{66}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| &= \left| 1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_m \right| |w(z)| \left| 1 + \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \right| \\
 &< \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| (n + 1), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{67}$$

by the condition (62). Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0$ , ( $0 < |z_0| < 1$ ) such that

$$\max\{|w(z)|; |z| \leq |z_0|\} = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1.
 \tag{68}$$

Then, letting  $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$ , ( $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ) and  $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$ , ( $k \geq n$ ) with Lemma 1, we see that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z_0)}{z_0} - 1 \right| = \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| (k + 1) \geq \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| (n + 1).
 \tag{69}$$

This contradicts the inequality (67). Therefore, we don't have any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  such that  $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$ . This shows us that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} \left( \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) \right| < \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},
 \tag{70}$$

that is, that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.
 \tag{71}$$

This completes the proof of our theorem. □

**Corollary 1** *If  $f \in T_n$  satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{72}$$

*for some  $\alpha_m$  given by (12) with  $\alpha_m \neq 1$ , and for some real  $\rho > 1$ , then*

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{73}$$

*where  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j$ .*

**Proof.** With Theorem 3, we say that if  $f \in T_n$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{74}$$

then

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^{p-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{75}$$

Further, we have that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p-2}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m| (n+1)^{p-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{76}$$

from (75). Finally, we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \rho |e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{77}$$

□

**Example 3** *Consider the function  $f \in T_n$  given by*

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{78}$$

*Since*

$$A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3-\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+3+\lambda}{2}\right)} a_{n+1}z^{n+1}, \tag{79}$$

*we have*

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = \left| P(n, j, \lambda)(n+1)^{p-2} a_{n+1}z^n \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)(n+1)^{p-2} |a_{n+1}| \tag{80}$$

where

$$0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{P(n, j, \lambda)} \tag{81}$$

and  $P(n, j, \lambda)$  is given by (35).

Consider five boundary points  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  and  $z_5$  in Example 1. Then  $\alpha_5$  satisfies (46) and  $|1 - e^{-i\beta} \alpha_5|$  satisfies (47) for  $\beta = 0$ . For such  $\alpha_5$  and  $\beta$ , we consider  $\rho > 1$  by

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda+p}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)(n+1)^{p-2}|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5 \right| (n+1)^{p-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{82}$$

Then  $\rho$  satisfies

$$\rho \geq \frac{P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}|}{|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5|} = \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})} > 1. \tag{83}$$

With the above  $\alpha_5$  and  $\rho$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{A_{-j-\lambda}f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < P(n, j, \lambda)|a_{n+1}| \leq \rho \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5 \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{84}$$

## References

- [1] M. Acu, Some preserving properties of the generalized Alexander integral operator, *General Math.*, **10** (2002), 37–46.
- [2] J. W. Alexander, Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions, *Ann. of Math.*, Second Series, **17** (1915),12–22.
- [3] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ , *J. London Math. Soc.*, **2** (1971), 469–474.
- [4] K. Kugita, K. Kuroki and S. Owa, On  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -neighborhood defined by a new operator for certain analytic functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, **47** (2010), 1–12.
- [5] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **65** (1978), 289–305.
- [6] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, (2000).

- [7] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **18** (1978), 53–59.
- [8] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, **39** (1987), 1057–1077.

*Received: April 29, 2020*