

DOI: 10.2478/ausp-2019-0023

A Corpus of Hebrew-Language Gratulatory Poems by 17th-Century Hungarian Peregrine Students: Introducing the Hebrew Carmina Gratulatoria (HCG) Corpus and Its Research Potentials

Andrea GÖTZ

research fellow at Károli Gáspár University (Budapest, Hungary) gotz.andrea@kre.hu

Abstract. This paper discusses a corpus of so-called carmina gratulatoria, or gratulatory poems, composed by 17^{th} -century Hungarian peregrines in Hebrew. The paper argues that this understudied type of text offers a valuable resource for a wide range of fields due to the sociohistorical, cultural, and linguistic information the texts contain.

Keywords: historical sociopragmatics, peregrination, corpus linguistics, Hungarian language and history, carmina gratulatoria

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is twofold. Firstly, it introduces a corpus of Hebrew-language *carmina gratulatoria*, or gratulatory poems, by 17th-century Hungarian students who peregrinated primarily to the Low Countries and Germany, and, secondly, it outlines the possible ways in which such a corpus could be of use for interdisciplinary research. As carmina gratulatoria represent a community-oriented genre, they offer a wealth of information about the sociocultural setting of their creation. Furthermore, since Hebrew-language carmina gratulatoria practically form—not just in Hungarian peregrination research—a "terra incognita" (Zsengellér 2012: 344), a corpus such as this can have versatile applications for various lines of research.

Carmina gratulatoria are poems marking special occasions, most frequently accompanying dissertations. In this case, the poems were composed by the peers of the respondents, serving the dual purpose of praising both the skills of the author of the dissertation and showcasing those of the author of the poems. While

the poems can address and relate to the topic of the dissertations, they display diverse topics, representing both Biblical quotes and original material as well.

Even though the peregrination of Hungarian students is a well-researched topic within Hungarian studies (see Szögi 1994.), Hebrew-language poems have curiously remained underutilized, owing largely to a sense of exoticism which Hebrew retained to this day. While Latin and Greek carmina gratulatoria are well known from the period, as these languages were commonly taught and used, Hebrew remained more specialized and less accessible for both 17th-century and modern-day scholars.

The poems can be used as a source of information in two ways: (1) through the explorations of the network of scholars mapped out by the connections between the authors and addressees of the poems and (2) through the linguistic content of the poems. By connecting the social and the historical, the cultural and the linguistic, the individual and the community-wide, the information encoded in the texts and their *Sitz im Leben*, this corpus of Hebrew-language carmina gratulatoria could offer an additional source of information for Hungarian historical sociopragmatics and cultural studies and also for interdisciplinary approaches to peregrination.

The international study of peregrination can also benefit from better understanding this chapter of Hungarian peregrination in the Low Countries in the early modern era. After all, the scale of Hungarian peregrination was significant.

In Hungary, the case is one of "Peregrinatio continua" of Hungarian students to Southern, Western, and Eastern Europe. Before the Reformation, more went to the South (Italy) and to the East (Poland, Krakow); after the Reformation, more headed to Western Europe (Germany, Switzerland, Netherlands, England) [...] to Dutch universities (Leyden, Utrecht, Groningen, Harderwijk, Amsterdam), but especially in Franeker [...] the total number of Hungarian students is circa 3,000, and 1,200 of them studied at Franeker [between 1585 and 1811]. (Forró 1980: 1197; translated by the author)

The volume of peregrination is well illustrated by the quote above, and it can be described as an "impressive figure" (Ijsewijn 2017: 4). Nevertheless, it could have been even larger since these numbers are based on official student registers such as the *Album Studiosorum Academiae Franekerensis* (see Postma 1998: 113). These lists only name students who were officially enrolled at particular universities, while visiting students not enrolled are absent from them. Therefore, documents such as carmina gratulatoria could be potentially used to draw a more complete picture of peregrination.

For some Hungarians, studying abroad could have been the only way to access higher education due to the persecution of Protestants and the dominance of Catholic universities in Hungary. Hungarian peregrination in the 17th century gravitated mainly towards the Low Countries as the Thirty Years War diverted students from Germany and also because Dutch universities had a reputation of religious tolerance (Csorba 2010: 256–261). However, the intellectual and cultural links between the Low Countries and Hungary predate the fallout of the political upheaval of the 17th century, having previously crystallized around significant humanist figures (Bitskey 2010: 45). Nevertheless, it is not irrelevant that, similarly to the Low Countries, Calvinism became the main creed of Protestantism in Hungary as well, where the only permanent university – in Nagyszombat – was Catholic.

In the following, this paper introduces the genre of carmina gratulatoria, a newly compiled corpus of Hebrew carmina gratulatoria and, finally, outlines its research potentials as articulated by the research project centred around the compilation and examination of the HCG corpus (see Götz et al. 2018), which mainly revolve around the historical, literary, cultural, and sociolinguistic potentials of the corpus.

2. The genre of carmina gratulatoria

Carmina gratulatoria are gratulatory poems written for special occasions. We find such poems predominantly composed for disputation ceremonies. In this case, they are written by the peers of the *defendens*, i.e. the graduating student, read out at the *disputatio*, i.e. viva, or thesis defence. While the *opponentes*, arguing against the thesis, and the *praeses* – or promoter, who served as a kind of referee – had to strike a neutral and objective tone, these poems themselves praised the *defendens*, not having formed part of the academic proceedings proper.

The poems in the HCG corpus show tremendous diversity when it comes to their formal properties and content. However, there are certain literary devices which appear frequently, one of which is dedication. Example (1) is from a carmen written by Pál Diószegi Kalmár,¹ addressing Mihály G. Báthori² ירותב לאכים 'myk'l btwry') from the year 1653, celebrating Báthori's dissertation on the topic of ethics (Disputationum Ethicarum De Religionis Oppositis & Pietate), submitted to the university of Leiden. The defendens is named in the text:

Pál Diószegi Kalmár (1628/1629–1663): Protestant pastor, studied at length at the university of Leiden, presenting disputations under the chairmanship of Johannes Coccejus as well (Bozzay 2009).

² Mihály Báthori G. (1631–1669): Protestant pastor. After his domestic studies, he studied in Utrecht and Leiden thanks to the patronage of Count Ferenc Rhédey (Borsa et al. 2012: 496). In Leiden, he defended his theology thesis under the chairmanship of Johannes Coccejus in 1653 (Bozzay 2009).

שיאל מכה יערל לאכימ ירותב Báthori Mihály to my friend wise to man 'To a wise man, to my friend, Mihály Báthori' (RMK III. 1840)

Themes of humanist friendship so prevalent in Latin and Greek publications (see Móré 2015) can be found in these Hebrew-language carmina as well. Characterizing the *defendens* as a man of virtue, wisdom, and scholarship is a very frequent cliché, as seen in (1). *Invocations*, as shown in (2), asking for the *defendens* to be blessed with intelligence, are equally common.

ערל וְתִי לֹא המרע (2) shrewdness god shall give to my friend 'My god give my friend shrewdness' (RMK III. 1844)

Biblical quotations emphasizing the importance of learning and wisdom are similarly present, linking elements of carmina gratulatoria. In fact, many poems can be considered compilations of Biblical passages, modified to fit the context of carmina gratulatoria.

Example (3) presents a pastiche of a passage from the Proverbs (Pro 24: 17) but slightly rearranged – compare it with (4):

(4) דבל לגי־לא ולשכבו חמשת־לא דיביוא לפנב when his when your your not not heart rejoice falling rejoice falling enemy 'If your enemy falls, do not exult; if he trips, let your (Pro 24: 17) heart not rejoice' (KJV)

Additionally, these poems could make reference to or comment on political issues, historical and cultural events. In 1652, Tofeus Mihály³ offered the commemoration seen in (5).

³ Mihály Székelyhidi Tofeus (1624–1684): Transylvanian Protestant bishop; during his peregrination, he studied in Francker, Utrecht, Harderwijk, London, and Leiden (Gordán 2018).

(5) דיגנה לודגה דנומגיס סויצוקר תמיו and died Rákóczi Sigismund the great the ruler "The great ruler, Rákóczi Zsigmond,4 died" (RMK II. 774b)

The language of the poems can also reveal what kind of literary resources the authors of carmina gratulatoria were familiar with. While a classical education, complete with Latin and Greek auctors, offered numerous parallels in general and for poems in Hebrew in particular, the Bible served as the main source of literary inspiration. However, on the basis of the linguistic formulation of the poems in the HCG corpus, the resources available to peregrines were not limited to the books of the Hebrew Bible: specific linguistic forms and expressions of post-Biblical language appear as well. This means that these poems can also reveal how extensive the knowledge of the students was not just of Biblical Hebrew but of later Jewish literary traditions as well.

Example (6) references the genre of *pizmon* (וְוֹמֵּזִכּ), a song of praise, and the act of *bəluzma'* (אָמְזוּלְב), the "drinking of spiced wines, whence frolic, carousal" (Jastrow 1996) in a possessive construction using the late Biblical possessive particle *šel* (לש). However, in late Biblical Hebrew, this linguistic form would not have been used yet in such a possessive construction (Biró 2018).

The use of post-Biblical grammatical structures and cultural concepts indicates that the knowledge of Hungarian peregrines surpassed Biblical Hebrew. It is well known that teaching Hebrew in Hungary was aided by grammars produced for this specific purpose (Postma 2010: 123–125), but the extent to which post-Biblical Hebrew language and literature were incorporated into the studies of peregrines is not yet satisfactorily understood.

3. The corpus of Hebrew Carmina Gratulatoria (HCG)

The HCG corpus is open-ended, currently comprising 61 carmina gratulatoria (see *Appendix 1*), written predominantly but not exclusively at German and Dutch universities by students of Hungarian origin. The corpus in its current state contains the poems of 53 authors and 41 addressees. Pál Diószegi Kalmár is the author with the highest number of poems (4), and Mihály Tofeus is the most

⁴ The carmen is published in a volume dedicated to Rákóczi Zsigmond of Felsővadász (1622–1652).

frequently (6) addressed (see appendices 2 and 3). The most frequent places of origin are Francker and Leiden (12 each) (see *Figure 1* and *Appendix 4*).




Figure 1. The number of texts by place of origin

The texts were manually digitized on the basis of prints. However, due to misprints and errors, several versions of the texts are made available:

- (1) verbatim transcript (including misprints and errors),
- (2) corrected unvocalized text,
- (3) corrected vocalized text,
- (4) Hungarian and English translations.

Since the language of the texts is Hebrew, the texts can be unvocalized, meaning that they do not contain the diacritical signs denoting vowels – which can increase the possibilities of interpretation tremendously –, or they can be vocalized, meaning that the prints already contain vowels. Both types of texts can require reconstruction. Typesetters and publishers generally would not have known Hebrew, and therefore errors, such as substituting certain letters for others, can arise simply from the printing process.

While most texts use Hebrew script, five carmina gratulatoria are printed in Latin letters. Interpreting these texts necessitates reconstruction, wherefore these received a Hebrew transcription as well. Although the use of Latin script makes the interpretation of the texts more of a challenge, this also has the advantage of potentially reflecting contemporary pronunciation. Example (7) demonstrates this case.

| (7) | Jevarek | leka | Elohim | |
|-----|--------------|------|----------|-----------------|
| | רְרֵבְיְ | Ęڔٝ | םיהָלֹאֱ | |
| | shall bless | you | god | |
| 'Go | d bless you' | | | (RMNY III 2356) |

The Latin script clearly shows that certain conventions of Hebrew, for example, betacism, meaning that the letter bet, i.e. \beth ('b') is pronounced as [v] following a vowel, was known to the author. While a lack of Hebrew letter types can be the reason why Latin letters were used, the pronunciation does indicate a knowledge of Hebrew.

4. Research potentials

The corpus and its electronic version under construction offer a variety of applications beyond the narrower topic of carmina gratulatoria. With multifaceted annotation (grammatical, pragmatic, intertextual) and extensive analyses, the corpus can support a variety of approaches.

A historical approach seeks to unravel the complex relationships between Hungarian peregrines, including peers and educators. This investigation helps to better understand their activity both internationally and domestically, including well-known personalities of the era. Research into relationship networks has been steadily gaining momentum, especially with regard to album amicorum (Markó 2018). Carmen gratulatoria could serve as a promising resource as the genres of carmen gratulatorium, matricula, and album amicorum represent a resource for the reconstruction of a network of scholars. As Móré (2015: 29) puts it in relation to Hungarian: "[...] dedications and greeting poems delineate a network of relationships, the extent and role of which however has not yet been explored". This work, albeit in its infancy, shows promise as the combination of alborum amicorum and carmina gratulatoria records can be used to sketch up Hebrew skill-sharing networks (Juhász 2018).

An investigation of the Hebrew skills of the authors complete the historical approach laid out above. Tracing the acquisition and development of Hebrew skills across time and space can enlighten the state of linguistic education in Hungary and the role of Hebrew, which is intimately linked to contemporary views on culture, language, religion, and the status of Hungarian grammar itself. It is common knowledge that Hebrew played a role in Sylvester's investigation of Hungarian grammar, resulting in shifting the model of description from Latin to Hebrew (Korompay 2012: 338). Therefore, knowledge of and interest in Hebrew are relevant from the point of view of Hungarian as well.

A literary analysis of poetic devices, rhyme schemes, metre when applicable, etc. not only probes the style of Hebrew carmina gratulatoria but also helps to link the poems to the general literary traditions of the era. It is also part of this analysis to compare the language and style of Hebrew carmina gratulatoria to their Biblical parallels in a bid to identify and separate pastiche and original compositions (see Koltai, 2019).

From the point of view of Hungarian historical sociolinguistics, the most valuable application of this corpus is, as an additional resource, complementing other lines of inquiry with regard to peregrination and the linguistic output of peregrines. Historical sociopragmatics represents a complex avenue of research. A main line of its inquiry concerns the study of how "speakers exploit more general norms to generate particular meanings, take up social positionings, and so on" (Culpeper 2011: 2). This examination seeks to better understand the linguistic and cultural context of the relationships surrounding the creation of carmina gratulatoria, drawing on the peer network and the pragmatic annotation of the corpus.

5. Conclusions

This paper introduced the genre of carmina gratulatoria and a corpus of Hebrew-language carmina gratulatoria composed by 17th-century Hungarian peregrines, outlining possible applications of this corpus. It is the hope of this project that providing richly annotated text versions, including Hungarian and English translations, can support diverse applications for interdisciplinary research, connecting language and culture in a wider historical perspective.

Acknowledgements

The project introduced here, *Hebrew Carmina Gratulatoria of the Hungarian Peregrines in the 17th Century* (125486), has been implemented with the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the (K 17) funding scheme.

References

- Biró, T. 2018. Early Modern Christian Hebrew. A hitherto overlooked variety of medieval Hebrew. Krakow. EAJS Congress 11, 19 July 2018.
- Bitskey, I. 2010. Németalföldi humanisták a 16. századi Magyarországon. In: Pusztai Gábor–Bozzay Réka (eds), *Debrecentől Amszterdamig. Magyarország és Németalföld kapcsolata*. Debrecen: Debreceni Egyetem Néderlandisztika Tanszék, 45–58.
- Borsa, G.–Vásárhelyi, J. P.–Heltai, J.–Pavercsik, I. (eds). 2012. *Régi magyarországi nyomtatványok*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Bozzay, R. 2009. Die Peregrination ungarländischer Studenten an der Universität Leiden 1595–1976. *Felsőoktatás-történeti kiadványok*. Budapest: Magyar Tudományos Akadémia Egyetemtörténeti Albizottsága.
- Csorba, D. 2010. A történelem, ahogy Hollandiában írták avagy a 17. századi hollandiai történelem-képzetek magyar recepciója. In: Pusztai, Gábor–Bozzay, Réka (eds), *Debrecentől Amszterdamig. Magyarország és Németalföld kapcsolata*. Debrecen: Debreceni Egyetem Néderlandisztika Tanszék. 251–272.
- Culpeper, J. (ed.) 2011. Historical sociopragmatics. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Forró, I. 1980. Carmina gratulatorium studiosorum Hungarorum in Universitate Franequerana (1623–1794), Hollandia. In: Margolin, Jean-Claude (ed.), *Acta Conventus Neo-Latini Turonensis*. Paris: J. Vrin. 1197–1205.
- Gordán E. 2018. Tofeus Mihály (1629–1684) könyvei a Dési Ferences Rendház könyvtárában. *Per Aspera ad Astra* 5(1): 49–59. https://doi.org/10.15170/PAAA.
- Götz, Andrea–Zsengellér, József–Koltai Kornélia–Juhász, Szandra–Bíró, Tamás. 2018. Hebrew-Language Carmina Gratulatoria of 17th-Century Hungarian Peregrine Students (Magyar Peregrinusok Héber Köszöntőversei a 17. Században). Poster presented at the Hungarian Hebrew Studies Conference (Magyar Hebraisztikai Konferencia), Budapest, 8 February.
- Ijsewijn, J. 2017. Latin and the Low Countries. In: Hermans, Theo–Salverda, Reinier (eds), From revolt to riches: Culture and history of the low countries, 1500–1700. London: UCL Press. 2–18.
- Jastrow, M. 1996. A dictionary of the Targumim, the Talmud Babli and Yerushalmi, and the Midrashic literature with an index of scriptural quotations. Vol. 1: A K. Nachdr. New York: Judaica Press.
- Juhász, Sz. 2019. *Dialógusok a héber nyelvű köszöntőversekben*. Cluj-Napoca. ReBaKucs Conference. 3–5 May 2018.
- Koltai, K. 2019. *Idézés, utánzás, eredetiség. A parafrázis megjelenési formái a magyar peregrinusok 17. századi héber nyelvű üdvözlőverseiben.* Pécs. ReBaKucs Conference. 25 May 2019.

- Korompay, K. 2012. 16th-century Hungarian orthography. In: Baddeley, Susan–Voeste, Anja (eds), *Orthographies in Early Modern Europe*. Berlin: De Gruyter. 321–349.
- Markó, A. 2018. Hálózatok a 16–17. századi album amicorumokban. *Digitális Bölcsészet* 1: 55–82. https://doi.org/10.31400/dh-hun.
- Móré, T. 2015. Ars peregrinandi: A 16. századi wittenbergi magyar peregrinusok neolatin búcsúztatóverseinek vizsgálata hazai és nemzetközi kontextusban. PhD dissertation. Debrecen: Debreceni Egyetem.
- Postma, F. 1998. Franekeri akadémiai nyomtatványok nyomában. In: Kun, András–Vecsey, Beatrix (eds), *Könyv és könyvtár*. Debrecen: Kossuth Lajos Tudományegyetemi Könyvtára. 113–123.
 - 2010. Magyarok és a franckeri egyetemi oktatás. In: Pusztai, Gábor–Bozzay, Réka (eds), *Debrecentől Amszterdamig. Magyarország és Németalföld kapcsolata*. Debrecen: Debreceni Egyetem Néderlandisztika Tanszék. 113–156.
- Szögi, L. 1994. *Magyarországi diákok egyetemjárása az újkorban*. Budapest: Eötvös Loránd Tudományegyetem Levéltára.
- Zsengellér, J. 2012. Carminae gratulatoriae hebraicae Héber üdvözlő versek a 17. századból. In: Koltai, Kornélia (ed.), *A szívnek van két rekesze: tanulmánykötet Prof. Dr. Schweitzer József tiszteletére 90. születésnapja alkalmából.* Budapest: L'Harmattan–Magyar Hebraisztikai Társaság. 341–352.

Appendix 1. List of texts

| Authors | Place | Date | Identifier |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------|--------------|
| Alistáli Farkas, Jakab | Utrecht | 1652 | RMK III 1813 |
| Alistáli Farkas, Jakab | Utrecht | 1654 | RMK III 1904 |
| Antonius, Simon | Thorn | 1678 | RMK III 2932 |
| Archino, Nicolao/Árkosi Benedek | Frankfurt a.M. | 1626 | RMK III 1399 |
| Balkányi György | Franeker | 1666 | RMK III 6503 |
| Báthori G. Mihály | Leiden | 1653 | RMK III 1842 |
| Baumbach Boldizsár | Heidelberg | 1617 | RMK III 1196 |
| Becskeházi István | Nagyvárad | 1650 | RMK II 764 |
| Békés János | Bázel | 1674 | RMK III 2647 |
| Csekei Pál | Utrecht | 1667 | RMK III 2401 |
| Csepregi F. Mihály | Franeker | 1689 | RMK III 3554 |
| Csúzi József | Franeker | 1692 | RMK III 3728 |
| Debreczeni P. János | Utrecht | 1658 | RMK III 2022 |
| Debreczeni S. Gáspár | Frankfurt a.M. | 1626 | RMK III 1399 |
| Diószegi Kalmár Pál | Leiden | 1653 | RMK III 1840 |
| Diószegi Kalmár Pál | Leiden | 1653 | RMK III 1844 |

| Diószegi Kalmár Pál Leiden 1654 RMK III 1893 Diószegi Kalmár Pál Leiden 1654 RMK III 1897 Gabriel Trusius Wittenberg 1676 RMK III 6703 Gyarmati István Frankfurt a.M. 1626 RMK III 1399 Gyöngyősi A. Pál Franeker 1700 RMK III 3389 Jenei György Franeker 1686 RMK III 3389 Jenei Mihály Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2648 Kallai Kopis János Basel 1674 RMK III 2648 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2409 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2403 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 Jag Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 1769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1776 Komáromi Csipkés György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Magus Györg | Authors | Place | Date | Identifier |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------|---------------|
| Diószegi Kalmár Pál Leiden 1654 RMK III 1897 Gabriel Trusius Wittenberg 1676 RMK III 6703 Gyarmati István Frankurt a.M. 1626 RMK III 1399 Gyöngyösi A. Pál Franeker 1700 RMK III 1339 Jenei György Franeker 1686 RMK III 3389 Jenei Mihály Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 1768 Kállai Kopis János Basel 1674 RMK III 2648 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2109 Kepsi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2103 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 Ja9 Kišmarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 1789 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1631 RMK III 1781 Magus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Makai György Wi | | | | |
| Gabriel Trusius Wittenberg 1676 RMK III 6703 Gyamati István Frankfurt a.M. 1626 RMK III 1399 Gyöngyösi A. Pál Franeker 1700 RMK III 4182 Jenei György Franeker 1686 RMK III 389 Jenei György Franeker 1686 RMK III 768 Kállai Kopis János Basel 1674 RMK III 2648 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Kolozsvár 1702 RMK III 2109 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2103 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 Ja9 Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1631 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György <td< td=""><td></td><td>Leiden</td><td>1654</td><td>RMK III 1897</td></td<> | | Leiden | 1654 | RMK III 1897 |
| Gyarmati István Frankfurt a.M. 1626 RMK III 1399 Gyöngyösi A. Pál Franeker 1700 RMK III 4182 Jenei György Franeker 1686 RMK III 3389 Jenei Mihály Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 2648 Kallai Kopis János Basel 1674 RMK III 2648 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2109 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 2103 Kermel János Wittenberg 1659 RMK III 2103 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 Jay Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Makai György Vüttenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Maki György F | | Wittenberg | 1676 | |
| Jenei György | | | 1626 | |
| Jenei György | | Franeker | | |
| Seni | | Franeker | 1686 | RMK III 3389 |
| Kállai Kopis János Basel 1674 RMK III 2648 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Kolozsvár 1702 RMK II 2109 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 3402 Kemmel János Wittenberg 1659 RMK III 2103 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 J39 SC-Norris 288 J39 Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1458 Makai György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Mátonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK I | | Nagyvárad | 1650 | RMK II 768 |
| Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Kolozsvár 1702 RMK II 2109 Kaposi Juhász Sámuel Leiden 1686 RMK III 3402 Kemmel János Wittenberg 1659 RMK III 2103 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 J39 Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 1769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2452 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1478 Patai I. József | Kállai Kopis János | Basel | 1674 | RMK III 2648 |
| Kemmel János Wittenberg 1659 RMK III 2103 Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 J39 Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1790 Laskai Opórgy Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Magyvárad 1650 RMK III 1765 Mártonfalvi György Virecht 1658 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1457 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 1786 Pataki István Franeker 1665 | | Kolozsvár | 1702 | RMK II 2109 |
| Keresztúri G. Bálint London 1662 SC-Norris 288 J39 Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2452 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2452 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 1478 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad < | Kaposi Juhász Sámuel | Leiden | 1686 | RMK III 3402 |
| Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 765 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánsi L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 4178 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Pilarik István Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Pilarik János Nagyvárad 1 | Kemmel János | Wittenberg | 1659 | RMK III 2103 |
| Kismarjai Veszelin Pál Bremen 1625 RMK III 1372 Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2452 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 4178 Pataki F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2759 Pataki István Franeker 1665 RMK III 2448 Pilarik István Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Pilarik István Wittenberg <t< td=""><td>Keresztúri G. Bálint</td><td>London</td><td>1662</td><td>SC-Norris 288</td></t<> | Keresztúri G. Bálint | London | 1662 | SC-Norris 288 |
| Köleséri Sámuel Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 769 Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2452 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 4178 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Pilarik István Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2759 Sculteti Gabriel Leipzig 16 | | | | J39 |
| Komáromi Csipkés György Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1790 Laskai Matkó János Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Leusden Johannes Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1781 Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 1781 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2452 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 4178 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2279 Pilarik István Franeker 1668 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2759 Sculteti Gabriel Leipzig 1678< | Kismarjai Veszelin Pál | Bremen | 1625 | RMK III 1372 |
| Laskai Matkó János Leiden Leusden Johannes Utrecht Leusden Johannes Utrecht Leusden Johannes Utrecht Leusden Johannes Utrecht Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 765 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 4178 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 766 Pataki István Franeker 1665 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2759 Sculteti Gabriel Leipzig 1678 RMK III 2925 Somosi P. János Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1780 Szikszai Gergely Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Szikszai Gergely Leiden 1630 RMK III 1458 Szikszai István Wittenberg 1598 RMK III 1458 Szikszai István Wittenberg 1598 RMK III 1458 Szikszai István Wittenberg 1598 RMK III 1458 Szilágyi Péter Franeker 1697 RMK III 3988 | Köleséri Sámuel | Nagyvárad | 1650 | RMK II 769 |
| Leusden JohannesUtrecht1651RMK III 1781Magnus GyörgyWittenberg1668RMK III 2452Makai GyörgyNagyvárad1650RMK II 765Mártonfalvi GyörgyFraneker1658RMK III 2013Mártonfalvi GyörgyUtrecht1656RMK III 1972Medgyesi PálLeiden1630RMK III 1457Murgaschius JánosWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Nánási L. JózsefFraneker1700RMK III 4178Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK III 2766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK III 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Komáromi Csipkés György | Utrecht | 1651 | RMK III 1790 |
| Magnus György Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2452 Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 765 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 4178 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 766 Pataki István Franeker 1665 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Pilarik István Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2759 Sculteti Gabriel Leipzig 1678 RMK III 2925 Somosi P. János Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1780 Szikszai Gergely Leiden 1630 | Laskai Matkó János | Leiden | 1630 | RMK III 1458 |
| Makai György Nagyvárad 1650 RMK II 765 Mártonfalvi György Franeker 1658 RMK III 2013 Mártonfalvi György Utrecht 1656 RMK III 1972 Medgyesi Pál Leiden 1630 RMK III 1457 Murgaschius János Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Nánási L. József Franeker 1700 RMK III 2448 Patai F. Tamás Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2279 Pilarik István Franeker 1665 RMK III 2279 Pilarik András Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Pilarik István Wittenberg 1668 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2448 Püspöki János Nagyvárad 1650 RMK III 2767 Rácz-Böszörményi János Leiden 1676 RMK III 2759 Sculteti Gabriel Leipzig 1678 RMK III 1782 Szathmári István Utrecht 1651 RMK III 1457 Szikszai Gergely Leiden 1630 | Leusden Johannes | Utrecht | 1651 | RMK III 1781 |
| Mártonfalvi GyörgyFraneker1658RMK III 2013Mártonfalvi GyörgyUtrecht1656RMK III 1972Medgyesi PálLeiden1630RMK III 1457Murgaschius JánosWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Nánási L. JózsefFraneker1700RMK III 4178Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK II 766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK III 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 1458Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Magnus György | Wittenberg | 1668 | RMK III 2452 |
| Mártonfalvi GyörgyUtrecht1656RMK III 1972Medgyesi PálLeiden1630RMK III 1457Murgaschius JánosWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Nánási L. JózsefFraneker1700RMK III 4178Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK II 766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK III 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Makai György | Nagyvárad | 1650 | RMK II 765 |
| Medgyesi PálLeiden1630RMK III 1457Murgaschius JánosWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Nánási L. JózsefFraneker1700RMK III 4178Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK II 766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK III 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Mártonfalvi György | Franeker | 1658 | RMK III 2013 |
| Murgaschius JánosWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Nánási L. JózsefFraneker1700RMK III 4178Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK II 766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Mártonfalvi György | Utrecht | 1656 | RMK III 1972 |
| Nánási L. JózsefFraneker1700RMK III 4178Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK II 766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Medgyesi Pál | Leiden | 1630 | RMK III 1457 |
| Patai F. TamásNagyvárad1650RMK II 766Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Murgaschius János | Wittenberg | 1668 | RMK III 2448 |
| Pataki IstvánFraneker1665RMK III 2279Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Nánási L. József | Franeker | 1700 | RMK III 4178 |
| Pilarik AndrásWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Patai F. Tamás | Nagyvárad | 1650 | RMK II 766 |
| Pilarik IstvánWittenberg1668RMK III 2448Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Pataki István | Franeker | 1665 | RMK III 2279 |
| Püspöki JánosNagyvárad1650RMK II 767Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Pilarik András | Wittenberg | 1668 | RMK III 2448 |
| Rácz-Böszörményi JánosLeiden1676RMK III 2759Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | | Wittenberg | 1668 | RMK III 2448 |
| Sculteti GabrielLeipzig1678RMK III 2925Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Püspöki János | Nagyvárad | 1650 | RMK II 767 |
| Somosi P. JánosUtrecht1651RMK III 1782Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Rácz-Böszörményi János | Leiden | 1676 | RMK III 2759 |
| Szathmári IstvánUtrecht1651RMK III 1780Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Sculteti Gabriel | Leipzig | 1678 | RMK III 2925 |
| Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1457Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Somosi P. János | Utrecht | 1651 | RMK III 1782 |
| Szikszai GergelyLeiden1630RMK III 1458Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Szathmári István | Utrecht | 1651 | RMK III 1780 |
| Szikszai IstvánWittenberg1598RMK III 926Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Szikszai Gergely | Leiden | 1630 | RMK III 1457 |
| Szilágyi PéterFraneker1696RMK III 3988Szilágyi PéterFraneker1697RMK III 4033 | Szikszai Gergely | Leiden | 1630 | RMK III 1458 |
| Szilágyi Péter Francker 1697 RMK III 4033 | Szikszai István | Wittenberg | 1598 | RMK III 926 |
| | Szilágyi Péter | Franeker | 1696 | RMK III 3988 |
| Szőlősi Pál Franeker 1686 RMK III 3389 | Szilágyi Péter | Franeker | 1697 | RMK III 4033 |
| | Szőlősi Pál | Franeker | 1686 | RMK III 3389 |

| Authors | Place | Date | Identifier |
|---------------------|------------|------|--------------|
| Tatai Sámuel | Franeker | 1666 | RMK III 6503 |
| Thúri György | Wittenberg | 1598 | RMK III 926 |
| Tofeus Mihály | Sárospatak | 1652 | RMK II 774b |
| Vizaknai Mihály | Leiden | 1679 | RMK III 2992 |
| Veszprémi B. István | Utrecht | 1666 | RMK III 2369 |
| Zabeler Jób | Wittenberg | 1649 | RMK III 1733 |

Appendix 2. List of authors

| Authors | No. of poems |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Alistáli Farkas Jakab | 2 |
| Antonius Simon | 1 |
| Archino Nicolao | 1 |
| Balkányi György | 1 |
| Báthori G. Mihály | 1 |
| Baumbach Boldizsár | 1 |
| Becskeházi István | 1 |
| Békés János | 1 |
| Csekei Pál | 1 |
| Csepregi F. Mihály | 1 |
| Csúzi József | 1 |
| Debreczeni P. János | 1 |
| Debreczeni S. Gáspár | 1 |
| Diószegi Kalmár Pál | 4 |
| Gabriel Trusius | 1 |
| Gyarmati István | 1 |
| Gyöngyösi A. Pál | 1 |
| Jenei György | 1 |
| Jenei Mihály | 1 |
| Kállai Kopis János | 1 |
| Kaposi Juhász Sámuel | 2 |
| Kemmel János | 1 |
| Keresztúri G. Bálint | 1 |
| Kismarjai Veszelin Pál | 1 |
| Komáromi Csipkés György | 1 |
| Köleséri Sámuel | 1 |
| Laskai Matkó János | 1 |
| Leusden Johannes | 1 |
| Magnus György | 1 |

| Authors | No. of poems |
|------------------------|--------------|
| Makai György | 1 |
| Mártonfalvi György | 2 |
| Medgyesi Pál | 1 |
| Murgaschius János | 1 |
| Nánási L. József | 1 |
| Patai F. Tamás | 1 |
| Pataki István | 1 |
| Pilarik András | 1 |
| Pilarik István | 1 |
| Püspöki János | 1 |
| Rácz-Böszörményi János | 1 |
| Sculteti Gabriel | 1 |
| Somosi P. János | 1 |
| Szathmári István | 1 |
| Szikszai Gergely | 2 |
| Szikszai István | 1 |
| Szilágyi Péter | 2 |
| Szőlősi Pál | 1 |
| Tatai Sámuel | 1 |
| Thúri György | 1 |
| Tofeus Mihály | 1 |
| Veszprémi B. István | 1 |
| Vizaknai Mihály | 1 |
| Zabeler Jób | 1 |
| Sum | 61 |

Appendix 3. List of addressees

| Addressees | No. of poems addressed |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| No addressee provided | 4 |
| Agnethler Michael | 1 |
| Apáti Miklóss | 1 |
| Bagosi Márton | 1 |
| Bánfihunyadi Márton | 1 |
| Bátai György | 1 |
| Báthori G. Mihály | 1 |
| Becskeházi V. István | 1 |
| Bessenyei F. Mihály | 1 |

| Addressees | No. of poems addressed |
|--|---------------------------|
| Christian Trentsch | 3 |
| Csúzi Cseh Jakab | 1 |
| Debreczeni Ember Pál | 2 |
| Debreczeni Gele János | 1 |
| Debreczeni K. János | 1 |
| Fogarasi Sámuel | 1 |
| Helmeczi István | 1 |
| Jászberényi Mátyás | 1 |
| Jászberényi P. Pál. | 1 |
| Kismarjai Veszelin Pál | 1 |
| Kochmeister Sámuel | 1 |
| Koltay János | 1 |
| Komáromi Csipkés György | 5 |
| Liszkai István | 2 |
| Mártonfalvi György | 1 |
| Matkó János | 2 |
| Medgyesi Pál | 2 |
| Michael Sennert | 1 |
| Musae Patakinae iterum ad luctum vocatae | 1 |
| Nagyari József | 1 |
| Sárfői Mihály | 1 |
| Sculteti Gabriel | 1 |
| Szántai Pócs István | 1 |
| Szathmári István | 1 |
| Szepsi Korocz András | 1 |
| Szikszai István | 1 |
| Szilágyi Márton | 1 |
| Thann András | 1 |
| Thúri György | 1 |
| Tofeus Mihály | 6 |
| Vásárhelyi T. M. István | 1 |
| Veresegyházi Tamás | 2 |
| Zilahi István | 1 |
| Sum | 61 |

Appendix 4. List of places of origin

| Place of origin | No. of poems |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Basel | 2 |
| Bremen | 1 |
| Franeker | 12 |
| Frankfurt am Main | 3 |
| Heidelberg | 1 |
| Kolozsvár | 1 |
| Leiden | 12 |
| Leipzig | 1 |
| London | 1 |
| Nagyvárad | 6 |
| Sárospatak | 1 |
| Thorn | 1 |
| Utrecht | 10 |
| Wittenberg | 9 |
| Sum | 61 |

Appendix 4. Year of composition

| Year of composition | No. of poems |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 1598 | 2 |
| 1617 | 1 |
| 1625 | 1 |
| 1626 | 3 |
| 1630 | 4 |
| 1649 | 1 |
| 1650 | 6 |
| 1651 | 4 |
| 1652 | 2 |
| 1653 | 3 |
| 1654 | 3 |
| 1656 | 1 |
| 1658 | 2 |
| 1659 | 1 |
| 1662 | 1 |
| 1665 | 1 |
| 1666 | 3 |
| 1667 | 1 |

| Year of composition | No. of poems |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 1668 | 4 |
| 1674 | 2 |
| 1676 | 2 |
| 1678 | 2 |
| 1679 | 1 |
| 1686 | 3 |
| 1689 | 1 |
| 1692 | 1 |
| 1696 | 1 |
| 1697 | 1 |
| 1700 | 2 |
| 1702 | 1 |
| Sum | 61 |