

DOI: 10.47745/ausm-2024-0009

Characterization of spectral elements in non-archimedean Banach algebras

Jawad Ettayb

Regional Academy of Education and Training of Casablanca-Settat, Hamman Al-Fatawaki collegiate High School, Had Soualem, Berrechid Province, Morocco email: jawad.ettayb@gmail.com

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a non-archimedean Banach algebra with unit e over an algebraically closed field. In this paper, we give a generalization of results of the paper [2] and we establish a new necessary and sufficient condition on the resolvent of an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|a^n\| \leq 1$.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{A} is a non-archimedean Banach algebra with unit $e\left(\|e\|=1\right)$ over a non trivially complete non-archimedean valued field \mathbb{K} which is also algebraically closed with valuation $|\cdot|$, \mathbb{Q}_p is the field of p-adic numbers equipped with p-adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$ and \mathbb{Z}_p denotes the ring of p-adic integers of \mathbb{Q}_p . For more details, we refer to [6] and [8]. We denote the completion of algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p under the p-adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$ by \mathbb{C}_p ([6]). Let r>0 and let Ω_r be the clopen ball of \mathbb{K} centred at 0 with radius r>0, that is $\Omega_r=\{t\in\mathbb{K}:|t|< r\}$. A non-archimedean normed algebra is a non-archimedean normed space with linear associative multiplication satisfying for all $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\in\mathcal{A}$, $\|\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\|\leq \|\mathfrak{a}\|\|\mathfrak{b}\|$. A non-archimedean complete normed algebra is

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A10, 47S10

Key words and phrases: non-archimedean Banach algebras, spectral elements, resolvent of an element

called a non-archimedean Banach algebra, moreover, if there is $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, ae = ea = a and ||e|| = 1, \mathcal{A} is said to be a non-archimedean Banach algebra with unit e. For more details, we refer to [1], [3], [8] and [10]. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ([8]) Let \mathcal{A} be a non-archimedean Banach algebra with unit \mathbf{e} , let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|\mathbf{a}\| < 1$, then $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{a}$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} and $(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{a})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}^k$.

let $a \in A$, we set $\sigma(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : a - \lambda e \text{ is not invertible}\}.$

Definition 1 ([9]) Let \mathcal{A} be a non-archimedean Banach algebra with unit e. Set $r(a) = \inf_n \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_n \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, a is said to be a spectral element if $\sup\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \sigma(a)\} = r(a)$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$U_{\alpha} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : (e - \lambda \alpha)^{-1} \text{ exists in } A\}.$$

 $\Big(U_{\mathfrak{a}} \ \mathit{is open} \ \mathit{and} \ 0 \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}\Big) \ \mathit{and}$

$$C_{\alpha} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{K} : B(0, |\beta|) \subset U_{\alpha} \text{ for some } \beta \in \mathbb{K}, |\beta| > |\alpha| \}.$$

We generalize the Proposition 6.6 of [9] as follows.

Proposition 1 [9] Let A be a non-archimedean Banach algebra with unit e, then the following are equivalent.

- (i) a is a spectral element.
- (ii) For all $\lambda \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}, \; (e-\lambda \mathfrak{a})^{-1} = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{n}}.$
- $\text{(iii) For each } \alpha \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}^* \text{, the function } \lambda \mapsto (e \lambda \mathfrak{a})^{-1} \text{ is analytic on } B(0, |\alpha|).$

2 Main results

In the rest of this paper, for an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\alpha^n\| \le 1$, we assume that $U_\alpha = \Omega_1$ where for all $\lambda \in U_\alpha$, $R(\lambda,\alpha) = (e - \lambda\alpha)^{-1}$.

Proposition 2 Let \mathcal{A} be a non-archimedean Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} with unit \mathbf{e} , let \mathbf{a} be a spectral element such that $\sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}\| \leq 1$. Then,

for all
$$\lambda \in C_{\mathfrak{a}}$$
, $\|R(\lambda, \mathfrak{a})\| \leq 1$.

Proof. From Proposition 1, for each $\lambda \in C_a$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\lambda|^n ||a^n|| = 0$, then

$$||R(\lambda, \alpha)|| = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \alpha^n \right\|$$

$$\leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda^n|$$

$$= 1.$$

Proposition 3 Let A be a non-archimedean Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} with unit $e, \ \text{let α be a spectral element such that} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\alpha^n\| \leq 1. \ \text{Then, for all λ}, \mu \in C_\alpha,$

$$\lambda R(\lambda, \alpha) - \mu R(\mu, \alpha) = (\lambda - \mu) R(\lambda, \alpha) R(\mu, \alpha).$$

Proof. If $\lambda, \mu \in C_a$, then

$$\lambda R(\lambda, \alpha)(e - \mu \alpha)R(\mu, \alpha) - \mu R(\lambda, \alpha)(e - \lambda \alpha)R(\mu, \alpha) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1) & = & \lambda R(\lambda,\alpha) R(\mu,\alpha) - \lambda \mu R(\lambda,\alpha) \alpha R(\mu,\alpha) - \mu R(\lambda,\alpha) R(\mu,\alpha) \\ & & + \lambda \mu R(\lambda,\alpha) \alpha R(\mu,\alpha) \\ & = & \lambda R(\lambda,\alpha) R(\mu,\alpha) - \mu R(\lambda,\alpha) R(\mu,\alpha) \\ & = & (\lambda - \mu) R(\lambda,\alpha) R(\mu,\alpha). \end{array}$$

Proposition 4 Let A be a non-archimedean Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} with unit e, let a be a spectral element such that $\sup \|a^n\| \leq 1$. Then for all $\lambda \in C_{\alpha}, \|R(\lambda, \alpha) - e\| \leq |\lambda|.$

Proof. Since $a \in A$ is a spectral element, we get for each $\lambda \in C_a$, $R(\lambda, a) =$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n a^n$. Then, for any $\lambda \in C_a$,

$$\|R(\lambda, \alpha) - e\| = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \alpha^n\|$$

$$\leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\lambda^n \alpha^n\|$$
(3)

$$\leq \sup_{n>1} \|\lambda^n \alpha^n\| \tag{3}$$

$$\leq |\lambda|.$$
 (4)

Proposition 5 Let A be a non-archimedean Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} with unit e, let a be a spectral element such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a^n\| \leq 1$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in C_a^*$, $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$R^{(n)}(\lambda, \alpha) = \frac{n!(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^n R(\lambda, \alpha)}{\lambda^n}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 3, for each $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$ with $\alpha \in C_{\alpha}^*$,

$$\left(\lambda e + (\mu - \lambda)e + (\lambda - \mu)R(\lambda, \alpha)\right)R(\mu, \alpha) = \lambda R(\lambda, \alpha). \tag{5}$$

Thus

$$\left(e - \frac{1}{\lambda}(\mu - \lambda)(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)\right)R(\mu, \alpha) = R(\lambda, \alpha). \tag{6}$$

The quantity in square brackets on the left of this equation is invertible for $|\lambda|^{-1}|\mu-\lambda|\|R(\lambda,\alpha)-\varepsilon\|<1$. Then

$$R(\mu, \alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^n R(\lambda, \alpha)}{\lambda^n} (\mu - \lambda)^n.$$
 (7)

But it follows by Proposition 1 that $R(\mu, \alpha)$ is analytic on $B(\lambda, |\alpha|)$. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ is a spectral element, we get for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$, $R(\mu, \alpha)$ can be written as follows:

$$R(\mu, \alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^{(n)}(\lambda, \alpha)}{n!} (\mu - \lambda)^{n}.$$

Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$\mathsf{R}^{(\mathfrak{n})}(\lambda,\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{\mathfrak{n}!(\mathsf{R}(\lambda,\mathfrak{a}) - e)^{\mathfrak{n}}\mathsf{R}(\lambda,\mathfrak{a})}{\lambda^{\mathfrak{n}}}.$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let \mathcal{A} be a non-archimedean Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} with unit e, let \mathfrak{a} be a spectral element. Then for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{n}}\| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\left\| \left(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e \right)^n R(\lambda, \alpha) \right\| \le |\lambda|^n,$$
 (8)

 $\mathit{for \ all \ } \lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|} \ \mathit{where} \ \alpha \in C_{\alpha}^* \ \mathit{and} \ R(\lambda,\alpha) = (e-\lambda\alpha)^{-1}.$

Proof. Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\alpha^n\| \le 1$, let $\alpha \in C_{\alpha}^*$, from Proposition 1, $R(\lambda, \alpha) = (e - \lambda \alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \alpha^k$ is analytic on $\Omega_{|\alpha|}$. By Proposition 5, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$R^{(n)}(\lambda, \alpha) = \frac{n!(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^n R(\lambda, \alpha)}{\lambda^n}$$
(9)

and

$$R^{(n)}(\lambda,\alpha) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1) \lambda^{k-n} \alpha^k = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} n! \binom{k}{n} \lambda^{k-n} \alpha^k.$$

Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$\left\| \frac{R^{(n)}(\lambda, a)}{n!} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} {k \choose n} \lambda^{k-n} a^{k} \right\|$$
 (10)

$$\leq \sup_{k>n} \left| \binom{k}{n} \right| |\lambda|^{k-n} \|\alpha^k\| \tag{11}$$

$$\leq \sup_{k \geq n} |\lambda|^{k-n} \|\alpha^k\| \tag{12}$$

$$\leq 1. \tag{13}$$

Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$\left\| \frac{\mathsf{R}^{(\mathsf{n})}(\lambda, \mathfrak{a})}{\mathsf{n}!} \right\| \le 1. \tag{14}$$

From (9) and (14), we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$\|(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^{n} R(\lambda, \alpha)\| \le |\lambda|^{n}. \tag{15}$$

Conversely, we assume that (8) holds. From $\mathfrak a$ is spectral, we have for any $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}, R(\lambda, \mathfrak a) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda^n \mathfrak a^n$. Put for any $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}, k \in \mathbb N$, $S_k(\lambda) = \lambda^{-k}(R(\lambda, \mathfrak a) - e)^k R(\lambda, \mathfrak a)$, then for any $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}, k \in \mathbb N$, $\|S_k(\lambda)\| \leq 1$. Since $\mathfrak a$ and $R(\lambda, \mathfrak a)$ commute, we have

$$S_k(\lambda) = \lambda^{-k} \Big((e - (e - \lambda a)) R(\lambda, a) \Big)^k R(\lambda, a),$$
 (16)

$$= \lambda^{-k} (\lambda \alpha R(\lambda, \alpha))^k R(\lambda, \alpha), \tag{17}$$

$$= \alpha^k R(\lambda, \alpha)^{k+1}. \tag{18}$$

Then for each $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\mathbf{a}^{k}\| = \|(\mathbf{e} - \lambda \mathbf{a})^{k+1} \mathbf{S}_{k}(\lambda)\|, \tag{19}$$

$$\leq \|(e - \lambda a)^{k+1}\| \|S_k(\lambda)\|, \tag{20}$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} {k+1 \choose j} (-\lambda a)^j \right\|, \tag{21}$$

$$\leq \max\{1, \|\lambda\alpha\|, \|\lambda^2\alpha^2\|, \cdots, \|\lambda^{k+1}\alpha^{k+1}\|\},$$
 (22)

for $\lambda \to 0$, we get for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|a^k\| \le 1$.

We generalize the result of [4] in non-archimedean Banach algebra as follows.

Theorem 2 Let \mathcal{A} be a non-archimedean Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} with unit e, let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be a spectral element with $U_a = \Omega_1$, then for all $n \geq 1$, $\|a^n\| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\left\| \left(\mathsf{R}(\lambda, \mathfrak{a}) - e \right)^{\mathsf{k}} \right\| \le |\lambda|^{\mathsf{k}}, \tag{23}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$, $k \ge 1$ where $\alpha \in C_{\alpha}^*$ and $R(\lambda, \alpha) = (e - \lambda \alpha)^{-1}$.

Proof. Assume that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|a^n\| \le 1$, let $\alpha \in C_a^*$, then $R(\lambda, \alpha) = (e - \lambda \alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \alpha^k$ is analytic on $\Omega_{|\alpha|}$. Using $R(\lambda, \alpha) - e = \lambda \alpha R(\lambda, \alpha)$ and Proposition 5, we have

$$(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^{n+1} = \lambda \alpha (R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^n R(\lambda, \alpha) = \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{n!} \alpha R^{(n)}(\lambda, \alpha)$$

and

$$R^{(n)}(\lambda,\alpha) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1) \lambda^{k-n} \alpha^k = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} n! \binom{k}{n} \lambda^{k-n} \alpha^k.$$

Thus

$$(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^{n+1} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\lambda \alpha)^{k+1}.$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$,

$$\begin{split} \left\| (R(\lambda,\alpha) - e)^{n+1} \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\lambda \alpha)^{k+1} \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{k>n} \left| \binom{k}{n} \middle| |\lambda|^{k+1} \middle\| \alpha^{k+1} \middle\| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \sup_{k \geq n} |\lambda|^{k+1} \|\alpha^{k+1}\|$$

$$\leq |\lambda|^{n+1}.$$

Conversely, we assume that (23) holds. Since α is a spectral element, then for all $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}, R(\lambda, \alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \alpha^n$. Put for any $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}, k \in \mathbb{N}, S_k(\lambda) = \lambda^{-k-1}(R(\lambda, \alpha) - e)^{k+1}$, then for all $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}, k \in \mathbb{N}, \|S_k(\lambda)\| \le 1$. Since α and $R(\lambda, \alpha)$ commute. From $R(\lambda, \alpha) - e = \lambda \alpha R(\lambda, \alpha)$, we get $S_k(\lambda) = (\alpha R(\lambda, \alpha))^{k+1}$, hence:

$$a^{k+1} = (e - \lambda a)^{k+1} S_k(\lambda).$$

Then for all $\lambda \in \Omega_{|\alpha|}$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{split} \|\alpha^{k+1}\| &= \|(e-\lambda\alpha)^{k+1}S_k(\lambda)\| \\ &\leq \|(e-\lambda\alpha)^{k+1}\|\|S_k(\lambda)\| \\ &\leq \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} (-\lambda\alpha)^j \right\| \\ &\leq \max\{1, \|\lambda\alpha\|, \|\lambda^2\alpha^2\|, \cdots, \|\lambda^{k+1}\alpha^{k+1}\|\}, \end{split}$$

for $\lambda \to 0,$ we get for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, \; \|\alpha^{k+1}\| \leq 1.$

References

- [1] J. Alvarez, C*-algebras of operators in non-Archimedean Hilbert spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 33 (1992), 573–580.
- [2] A. El amrani, J. Ettayb and A. Blali, P-adic discrete semigroup of contractions, *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, **40**(6) (2021), 1507–1519.
- [3] A. Escassut, Ultrametric Banach algebras, World Scientific Publishing, 2003.
- [4] J. Ettayb, Further results on p-adic semigroup of contractions, arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.12630 (2022).
- [5] A. G. Gibson, A Discrete Hille-Yoshida-Phillips Theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 39 (1972), 761–770.
- [6] N. Koblitz, p-adic Analysis: a Short Course on Recent Work, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1980).

- [7] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Appl. Math. Sci, 44, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [8] A. C. M. van Rooij, Non-Archimedean functional analysis, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Math., 51, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1978.
- [9] W. H. Schikhof, On p-adic compact operators, Tech. Report 8911, Department of Mathematics, Catholic University, Nijmengen, The Netherlands, (1989), 1–28.
- [10] W. H. Schikhof, Uniqueness of Banach algebra topology for a class of non-archimedean algebras, *Indag. Math.* (N.S.), 87(1) (1984), 47–49.

Received: January 22, 2022