

# Some results on Caristi type coupled fixed point theorems

İlker Şahin

Department of Mathematics,  
Science Faculty, Trakya University,  
22030-Edirne, Turkey  
email: isahin@trakya.edu.tr

Mustafa Telci

Department of Mathematics,  
Science Faculty, Trakya University,  
22030-Edirne, Turkey  
email: mtelci@trakya.edu.tr

**Abstract.** In this work we define the concepts of the coupled orbit and coupled orbitally completeness. After then, using the method of Bollenbacher and Hicks [8], we prove some Caristi type coupled fixed point theorems in coupled orbitally complete metric spaces for a function  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$ . We also give two examples that support our results.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In the literature concerning the fixed point theory, one of the most interesting and useful results is the Caristi's fixed point theorem [9], which is equivalent to Ekeland's variational principle [12] and is also a generalization of the famous Banach contraction principle.

In 1976, Caristi proved in [9] that “if  $S$  is a self mapping of a complete metric space  $(E, \rho)$  such that there is a lower semi-continuous function  $\psi$  from  $E$  into  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\rho(u, Su) \leq \psi(u) - \psi(Su)$$

for all  $u \in E$ , then  $S$  has a fixed point”.

---

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25

**Key words and phrases:** coupled fixed point, coupled orbit, coupled orbitally complete

In this theorem, saying that “ $\psi$  is lower semi-continuous at  $u$  if for any sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset E$ , we have  $\lim u_n = u$  implies  $\psi(u) \leq \liminf \psi(u_n)$ ”.

Several authors have obtained various extensions and generalizations of Caristi's theorem by considering Caristi type mappings on many different spaces. For example [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 28, 29], and others.

In this paper, by using the method in [8], we give some Caristi type coupled fixed point theorems for a function  $P$  from a product space  $E \times E$  to  $E$ .

The idea of the coupled fixed point was given first by Opoitsev [22, 23] and Opoitsev and Khurodze [24] and then by Guo and Lakshmikantham in [13]. The first coupled fixed point theorems under the contractive conditions were studied by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham, see [7]. Since then various authors have obtained several important, useful and interesting results for the coupled fixed points under different condition [5, 6, 11, 21, 25, 26, 27].

We now give some basic definitions and notions.

**Definition 1** ([7]) *Let  $E$  be a nonempty set and  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a mapping. An element  $(u, v) \in E \times E$  is said to be a coupled fixed point of mapping  $P$  if  $u = P(u, v)$  and  $v = P(v, u)$ .*

**Definition 2** *Let  $E$  be a nonempty set and  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a mapping. Let  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are arbitrary two points in  $E$ . Consider the sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  by*

$$u_n = P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}), v_n = P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) \quad (1)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then the sets

$$O_P(u_0, \infty) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad O_P(v_0, \infty) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots\}$$

are called the coupled orbit of  $(u_0, v_0) \in E \times E$ .

Now let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space. If every Cauchy sequence in  $O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $O_P(v_0, \infty)$  converges to a point in  $E$ , for some  $(u_0, v_0) \in E \times E$ , then the  $(E, \rho)$  metric space is said to be coupled orbitally complete.

Note that a complete metric space  $(E, \rho)$  clearly coupled orbitally complete, but a coupled orbitally complete metric space  $(E, \rho)$  does not necessarily complete as in shown by Example 1.

**Definition 3** Let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  a mapping and  $u_0, v_0 \in E$ . A real-valued function  $B : E \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be  $((u_0, v_0), P)$ -coupled orbitally weak lower semi-continuous (c.o.w.l.s.c.) at  $(u, v) \in E \times E$  iff  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are sequences in  $O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $O_P(v_0, \infty)$  respectively and

$$u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v \quad \text{implies} \quad B(u, v) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup B(u_n, v_n)$$

(See [10]).

## 2 Main results

The following theorem is a version of Caristi's theorem, which was proved by Bollenbacher and Hicks (See [8]).

**Theorem 1** Let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space. Suppose  $S : E \rightarrow E$  and  $\psi : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Suppose there exists an  $u$  such that

$$\rho(v, Sv) \leq \psi(v) - \psi(Sv)$$

for every  $v \in O_S(u, \infty)$ , and any Cauchy sequence in  $O_S(u, \infty)$  converges to a point in  $E$ . Then:

- (a)  $\lim S^n u = u'$  exists,
- (b)  $\rho(S^n u, u') \leq \psi(S^n u)$ ,
- (c)  $Su' = u'$  iff  $B(u) = \rho(u, Su)$  is  $S$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous at  $u$ ,
- (d)  $\rho(S^n u, u) \leq \psi(u)$  and  $\rho(u', u) \leq \psi(u)$ .

Now we prove the following coupled fixed point theorem for a function  $P$  on the product space  $E \times E$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $\psi : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Suppose there exist  $u_0, v_0 \in E$  such that  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete and

$$\max\{\rho(u, P(u, v)), \rho(v, P(v, u))\} \leq \psi(u) + \psi(v) - \psi(P(u, v)) - \psi(P(v, u)) \quad (2)$$

for all  $u \in O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $v \in O_P(v_0, \infty)$ . Then:

- (a)  $\lim u_n = \lim P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = u'$  and  $\lim v_n = \lim P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = v'$  exist, where the sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are defined as in (1),

- (b)  $\max\{\rho(u_n, u'), \rho(v_n, v')\} \leq \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_n)$ ,
- (c)  $(u', v')$  is a coupled fixed point of  $P$  if and only if  $B(u, v) = \rho(P(u, v), u)$  is  $((u_0, v_0), P)$ -c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(u', v')$  and  $(v', u')$ ,
- (d)  $\max\{\rho(u_n, u_0), \rho(v_n, v_0)\} \leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0)$  and  $\max\{\rho(u', u_0), \rho(v', v_0)\} \leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0)$ .

**Proof.** (a) Using inequality (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \max\{\rho(u_k, u_{k+1}), \rho(v_k, v_{k+1})\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \max\{\rho(u_k, P(u_k, v_k)), \rho(v_k, P(v_k, u_k))\} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n [\psi(u_k) + \psi(v_k) - \psi(P(u_k, v_k)) - \psi(P(v_k, u_k))] \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n [\psi(u_k) - \psi(u_{k+1}) + \psi(v_k) - \psi(v_{k+1})] \\
 &= \psi(u_0) - \psi(u_{n+1}) + \psi(v_0) - \psi(v_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{S_n\}$  is bounded above and also non-decreasing, and so convergent.

Now let  $m, n$  be any positive integers with  $m > n$ . Then from triangle inequality of  $\rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max\{\rho(u_n, u_m), \rho(v_n, v_m)\} &\leq \max\left\{\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \rho(u_k, u_{k+1}), \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \rho(v_k, v_{k+1})\right\} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \max\{\rho(u_k, u_{k+1}), \rho(v_k, v_{k+1})\}. \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{S_n\}$  is convergent, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find a sufficiently large positive integer  $N$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \max\{\rho(u_k, u_{k+1}), \rho(v_k, v_{k+1})\} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . Thus, we get from (3) that

$$\max\{\rho(u_n, u_m), \rho(v_n, v_m)\} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $m, n \geq \mathbb{N}$ , and so  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are two Cauchy sequences in  $O_P(u_0, \infty)$ , and  $O_P(v_0, \infty)$  respectively. Since  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = u' \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = v'$$

exist.

(b) Let  $m, n$  be any positive integers with  $m > n$ . Using inequalities (2) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\rho(u_n, u_m), \rho(v_n, v_m)\} &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \max\{\rho(u_k, u_{k+1}), \rho(v_k, v_{k+1})\} \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \max\{\rho(u_k, P(u_k, v_k)), \rho(v_k, P(v_k, u_k))\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} [\psi(u_k) + \psi(v_k) - \psi(u_{k+1}) - \psi(v_{k+1})] \\ &= \psi(u_n) - \psi(u_m) + \psi(v_n) - \psi(v_m) \\ &\leq \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $m$  tend to infinity, we have from (a)

$$\max\{\rho(u_n, u'), \rho(v_n, v')\} \leq \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_n).$$

(c) Assume that  $u' = P(u', v')$ ,  $v' = P(v', u')$  and  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{v_n\}$  are sequences in  $O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $O_P(v_0, \infty)$  respectively with  $u_n \rightarrow u'$ ,  $v_n \rightarrow v'$ . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} B(u', v') = \rho(P(u', v'), u') = 0 &\leq \limsup \rho(P(u_n, v_n), u_n) \\ &= \limsup B(u_n, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(v', u') = \rho(P(v', u'), v') = 0 &\leq \limsup \rho(P(v_n, u_n), v_n) \\ &= \limsup B(v_n, u_n) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $B$  is  $((u_0, v_0), P)$ -c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(u', v')$  and  $(v', u')$ .

Now let  $u_n = P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})$ ,  $v_n = P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1})$  and  $B$  is  $((u_0, v_0), P)$ -c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(u', v')$  and  $(v', u')$ . Then from (a) we have

$$0 \leq \rho(P(u', v'), u') = B(u', v') \leq \limsup B(u_n, v_n)$$

$$= \limsup \rho(P(u_n, v_n), u_n) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho(P(v', u'), v') = B(v', u') &\leq \limsup B(v_n, u_n) \\ &= \limsup \rho(P(v_n, u_n), v_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $u' = P(u', v')$  and  $v' = P(v', u')$ .

(d) Using triangle inequality of  $\rho$  and inequality (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\rho(u_n, u_0), \rho(v_n, v_0)\} &\leq \max\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n \rho(u_k, u_{k-1}), \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(v_k, v_{k-1})\right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \max\{\rho(u_k, u_{k-1}), \rho(v_k, v_{k-1})\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \max\{\rho(u_{k-1}, P(u_{k-1}, v_{k-1})), \rho(v_{k-1}, P(v_{k-1}, u_{k-1}))\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n [\psi(u_{k-1}) + \psi(v_{k-1}) - \psi(u_k) - \psi(v_k)] \\ &= \psi(u_0) - \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_0) - \psi(v_n) \\ &\leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, we have from (a)

$$\max\{\rho(u', u_0), \rho(v', v_0)\} \leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0).$$

□

We now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** Let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $\psi : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Suppose there exist  $u_0, v_0 \in E$  such that  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete and

$$\rho(u, P(u, v)) + \rho(v, P(v, u)) \leq \psi(u) + \psi(v) - \psi(P(u, v)) - \psi(P(v, u)) \quad (4)$$

for all  $u \in O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $v \in O_P(v_0, \infty)$ . Then:

(a)  $\lim u_n = \lim P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = u'$  and  $\lim v_n = \lim P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = v'$  exist, where the sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are defined as in (1),

- (b)  $\rho(u_n, u') + \rho(v_n, v') \leq \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_n)$ ,
- (c)  $(u', v')$  is a coupled fixed point of  $P$  if and only if  
 $B(u, v) = \rho(P(u, v), u)$  is  $((u_0, v_0), P)$ -c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(u', v')$  and  $(v', u')$ ,
- (d)  $\rho(u_n, u_0) + \rho(v_n, v_0) \leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0)$  and  
 $\rho(u', u_0) + \rho(v', v_0) \leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0)$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\rho(u, P(u, v)), \rho(v, P(v, u))\} &\leq \rho(u, P(u, v)) + \rho(v, P(v, u)) \\ &\leq \psi(u) + \psi(v) - \psi(P(u, v)) - \psi(P(v, u)). \end{aligned}$$

The results (a) and (c) of this theorem follow immediately from Theorem 2.

(b) Let  $m, n$  be any positive integers with  $m > n$ . Using triangle inequality of  $\rho$  and inequality (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u_n, u_m) + \rho(v_n, v_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} [\rho(u_k, u_{k+1}) + \rho(v_k, v_{k+1})] \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} [\rho(u_k, P(u_k, v_k)) + \rho(v_k, P(v_k, u_k))] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} [\psi(u_k) + \psi(v_k) - \psi(u_{k+1}) - \psi(v_{k+1})] \\ &= \psi(u_n) - \psi(u_m) + \psi(v_n) - \psi(v_m) \\ &\leq \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $m$  tend to infinity, we have from (a)

$$\rho(u_n, u') + \rho(v_n, v') \leq \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_n).$$

(d) Using triangle inequality of  $\rho$  and inequality (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u_n, u_0) + \rho(v_n, v_0) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n [\rho(u_k, u_{k-1}) + \rho(v_k, v_{k-1})] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n [\rho(u_{k-1}, P(u_{k-1}, v_{k-1})) + \rho(v_{k-1}, P(v_{k-1}, u_{k-1}))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n [\psi(u_{k-1}) + \psi(v_{k-1}) - \psi(u_k) - \psi(v_k)] \\
&= \psi(u_0) - \psi(u_n) + \psi(v_0) - \psi(v_n) \\
&\leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, we have from (a)

$$\rho(u', u_0) + \rho(v', v_0) \leq \psi(u_0) + \psi(v_0).$$

□

Finally, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** Let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $\psi : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Suppose there exist  $u_0, v_0 \in E$  such that  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete and

$$\rho(u, P(u, v)) \leq \psi(u) - \psi(P(u, v)), \quad (5)$$

$$\rho(v, P(v, u)) \leq \psi(v) - \psi(P(v, u)) \quad (6)$$

for all  $u \in O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $v \in O_P(v_0, \infty)$ . Then:

- (a)  $\lim u_n = \lim P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = u'$  and  $\lim v_n = \lim P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = v'$  exist, where the sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are defined as in (1),
- (b)  $\rho(u_n, u') \leq \psi(u_n)$  and  $\rho(v_n, v') \leq \psi(v_n)$ ,
- (c)  $(u', v')$  is a coupled fixed point of  $P$  if and only if  $B(u, v) = \rho(P(u, v), u)$  is  $((u_0, v_0), P)$ -c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(u', v')$  and  $(v', u')$ ,
- (d)  $\rho(u_n, u_0) \leq \psi(u_0)$  and  $\rho(u', u_0) \leq \psi(u_0)$ ,  
 $\rho(v_n, v_0) \leq \psi(v_0)$  and  $\rho(v', v_0) \leq \psi(v_0)$ .

**Proof.** From inequalities (5) and (6) we have

$$\rho(u, P(u, v)) + \rho(v, P(v, u)) \leq \psi(u) + \psi(v) - \psi(P(u, v)) - \psi(P(v, u)).$$

The results (a) and (c) of this theorem follow immediately from Theorem 3.

(b) Let  $m, n$  be any positive integers with  $m > n$ . Using triangle inequality of  $\rho$  and inequality (5) we get

$$\rho(u_n, u_m) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \rho(u_k, u_{k+1}) = \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \rho(u_k, P(u_k, v_k))$$



$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} [\psi(u_k) - \psi(u_{k+1})] = \psi(u_n) - \psi(u_m) \leq \psi(u_n).$$

Letting  $m$  tend to infinity, we have from (a)

$$\rho(u_n, u') \leq \psi(u_n).$$

Similarly, using triangle inequality of  $\rho$  and inequality (6) we get

$$\rho(v_n, v') \leq \psi(v_n).$$

(d) Using triangle inequality of  $\rho$  and inequality (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u_n, u_0) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(u_k, u_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(u_{k-1}, P(u_{k-1}, v_{k-1})) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n [\psi(u_{k-1}) - \psi(u_k)] \\ &= \psi(u_0) - \psi(u_n) \leq \psi(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, we have from (a)

$$\rho(u', u_0) \leq \psi(u_0).$$

Similarly, it can be proved that

$$\rho(v_n, v_0) \leq \psi(v_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(v', v_0) \leq \psi(v_0).$$

□

### 3 Some Examples

We now give two examples which illustrate our results.

**Example 1** Let  $E = [0, 1)$  with Euclidean metric  $\rho$ .

Define  $P : E \times E \rightarrow E$  by  $P(u, v) = u/2$  for all  $(u, v)$  in  $E \times E$  and also define  $\psi : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\psi(u) = 2u$  for all  $u$  in  $E$ .

Let  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are arbitrary two points in  $E$ . Then we have

$$O_P(u_0, \infty) = \left\{ u_0, \frac{u_0}{2}, \frac{u_0}{2^2}, \dots, \frac{u_0}{2^n}, \dots \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$O_P(v_0, \infty) = \left\{ v_0, \frac{v_0}{2}, \frac{v_0}{2^2}, \dots, \frac{v_0}{2^n}, \dots \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete as it is not complete. Further, for all  $u$  in  $O_P(u_0, \infty)$  and  $v$  in  $O_P(v_0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\rho(u, P(u, v)), \rho(v, P(v, u))\} &= \max\{|u - u/2|, |v - v/2|\} = \max\{u/2, v/2\} \\ &\leq u + v = \psi(u) + \psi(v) - \psi(P(u, v)) - \psi(P(v, u)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P$  satisfies inequality (2) with  $\psi(u) = 2u$  and so the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and  $\lim P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = \lim P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = 0$ . Further,  $(0, 0)$  is a coupled fixed point of  $P$  and  $B(u, v) = \rho(P(u, v), u)$  is c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(0, 0)$ .

**Example 2** Let  $E = [0, \infty)$  with Euclidean metric  $\rho$  and define

$$P : E \times E \longrightarrow E \quad \text{by} \quad P(u, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u < v \\ 2 & \text{if } u \geq v \end{cases}.$$

for all  $(u, v)$  in  $E \times E$ . If we take  $u_0 = 2$  and  $v_0 = 2$ , then

$$O_P(2, \infty) = \{2, 2, 2, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad O_P(2, \infty) = \{2, 2, 2, \dots\}.$$

Clearly,  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete and  $P$  satisfies inequality (2) for all  $u$  in  $O_P(2, \infty)$  and  $v$  in  $O_P(2, \infty)$  with  $\psi(u) = u$ . So the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and  $\lim P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = \lim P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = 2$ . Further,  $(2, 2)$  is a coupled fixed point of  $P$  and  $B(u, v) = \rho(P(u, v), u)$  is c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(2, 2)$ .

Similarly, if we take  $u_0 = 0$  and  $v_0 = 2$ , then

$$O_P(0, \infty) = \{0, 0, 0, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad O_P(2, \infty) = \{2, 2, 2, \dots\}.$$

Clearly,  $(E, \rho)$  is coupled orbitally complete and  $P$  satisfies inequality (2) for all  $u$  in  $O_P(0, \infty)$  and  $v$  in  $O_P(2, \infty)$  with  $\psi(u) = u$ . So the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and  $\lim P(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = 0, \lim P(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) = 2$ . Further,  $(0, 2)$  is a coupled fixed point of  $P$  and  $B(u, v) = \rho(P(u, v), u)$  is c.o.w.l.s.c. at  $(0, 2)$  and  $(2, 0)$ .

This shows that the coupled fixed point of  $P$  is not unique.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapınar, Quasicone metric spaces and generalizations of Caristi Kirk's theorem, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2009**, Article ID 574387, 9 pages.
- [2] Ö. Acar, İ. Altun, S. Romaguera, Caristi's type mappings on complete partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory*, **14** (1) (2013), 3–10.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. Khamsi, Extension of Caristi's fixed point theorem to vector valued metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **74** (1) (2011), 141–145.
- [4] J. S. Bae, E. W. Cho, S. H. Yeom, A generalization of the Caristi-Kirk fixed point theorem and its application to mapping theorems, *J. Kor. Math. Soc.* **31** (1) (1994), 29–48.
- [5] V. Berinde, Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **74** (18), (2011), 7347–7355.
- [6] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, A constructive approach to coupled fixed point theorems in metric spaces, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **31** (3) (2015), 277–287.
- [7] T. G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **65** (7) (2006), 1379–1393.
- [8] A. Bollenbacher, T. L. Hicks, A fixed point theorem revisited, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **102** (4) (1988), 898–900.
- [9] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **215** (1976), 241–251.
- [10] L. Ćirić, Periodic and fixed point theorems in a quasi-metric space, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **54** (1) (1993), 80–85.
- [11] D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Coupled fixed point results for mappings without mixed monotone property, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **25** (11) (2012), 1803–1808.
- [12] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **47** (2) (1974), 324–353.

- 
- [13] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **11** (5) (1987), 623–632.
  - [14] T. L. Hicks, Fixed point theorems for quasi-metric spaces, *Math. Japon.*, **33** (1988), 231–236.
  - [15] T. L. Hicks, Fixed point theorems for  $d$ -complete topological spaces I, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **15** (3) (1992), 435–440.
  - [16] J. R. Jachymski, Caristi's fixed point theorem and selection of set-valued contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **227** (1) (1998), 55–67.
  - [17] O. Kada, T. Suzuki, W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Math. Japon.*, **44** (1996), 381–391.
  - [18] H. Karayılan, M. Telci, Caristi type fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **48** (1) (2019), 75–86.
  - [19] M. A. Khamsi, Remarks on Caristi's fixed point theorem, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **71** (1-2) (2009), 227–231.
  - [20] F. Khojasteh, E. Karapinar, H. Khandani, Some applications of Caristi's fixed point theorem in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2016** (16) (2016), DOI 10.1186/s13663-016-0501-z, 10 pages.
  - [21] V. Lakshmikantham, L. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **70** (12) (2009), 4341–4349.
  - [22] V. I. Opoitsev, Heterogeneous and combined concave operators, *Siberian Math. J.*, **16** (4) (1975), 597–605 (in Russian).
  - [23] V. I. Opoitsev, Dynamics of collective behavior. III. Heterogenic system, *Avtomat. i Telemekh.*, **36** (1) (1975), 124–138 (in Russian).
  - [24] V. I. Opoitsev, T. A. Khurodze, Nonlinear operators in space with a cone. *Tbilis. Gos. Univ. Tbilisi*, **271** (1984), (in Russian)
  - [25] S. Radenović, Coupled fixed point theorems for monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **38** (2) (2014), 249–257.

- 
- [26] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, **40** (3) (2011), 441–447.
  - [27] W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea, Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partialmetric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013** (153) (2013) DOI:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-153, 15 pages.
  - [28] T. Suzuki, Generalized Caristi’s fixed point theorems by Bae and others, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **302** (2)(2005), 502–508.
  - [29] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, Maximality principle and general results of Ekeland and Caristi types without lower semicontinuity assumptions in cone uniform spaces with generalized pseudodistances, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, **2010**, Article ID 175453, 35 pages.

*Received: April 20, 2021*